arXiv:1108.5954v1 [math.NT] 30 Aug 2011

IWASAWA THEORY AND P-ADIC L-FUNCTIONS OVER $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$-EXTENSIONS

DAVID LOEFFLER AND SARAH LIVIA ZERBES

Abstract. In this paper, we define a two-variable analogue of Perrin-Riou's p-adic regulator map for the Iwasawa cohomology of a crystalline representation of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, over a Galois extension $K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p$ whose Galois group G is an abelian p-adic Lie group of dimension 2.

We use this regulator map to study p-adic representations of global Galois groups over certain abelian extensions of number fields whose localisation at the primes above p is an extension of the above type. In the example of the restriction to an imaginary quadratic field of the representation attached to a modular form, we formulate a conjecture on the existence of a "zeta element", whose image under the regulator map is a p-adic L-function. We show that this conjecture implies the known properties of the 2-variable p-adic L-functions constructed by Perrin-Riou and Kim.

1. Introduction

In the first part of this paper (Sections 3 and 4), we develop a "two-variable" analogue of Perrin-Riou's theory of p-adic regulator maps for crystalline representations of p-adic Galois groups.

Let us briefly recall Perrin-Riou's cyclotomic theory as developed in [PR95]. Let p be an odd prime, F a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and V a continuous p-adic representation of the absolute Galois group $\mathcal{G}_F$ of F which is "good crystalline" (crystalline with Hodge–Tate weights ≥ 0) and with no quotient isomorphic to the trivial representation. Then there is a regulator map

$$\mathcal{L}_{F,V}^{\Gamma} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

which interpolates the values of the Bloch–Kato dual exponential maps for the twists $V(j)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, over each finite subextension $F(\mu_{p^n})$. Here $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ is the space of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued distributions on the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^\infty})/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), V)$ is the submodule of bounded sequences in $\varprojlim_n H^1(F(\mu_{p^n}), V)$.

It is natural to ask whether or not this may be extended to consider twists of V by more general characters of $\mathcal{G}_F$. We give a complete answer to this question in the case $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$. In this case any continuous character $\mathcal{G}_F \to \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ factors through the Galois group G of an extension of the form $K_\infty = L_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty})$, where L_∞ is an uramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ whose Galois group is isomorphic to the product of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and a finite group of order prime to p. Denote by $\widehat{L}_\infty$ the p-adic completion of L_∞.

Theorem 1.1. *For any crystalline representation V of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, there exists a regulator map*

$$\mathcal{L}_V^G : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

interpolating the maps $\mathcal{L}_{F,V}^{\Gamma}$ for all unramified extensions $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in L_∞.

See Theorem 4.5 for a precise statement of the result. Unlike the cyclotomic case, this result holds whether or not V has trivial quotients.

We note in passing that an extension L_∞ as above will generally contain many distinguished subextensions whose Galois groups are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, obtained from the torsion points of height 1 Lubin–Tate formal groups. Note that if $\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, then any such Lubin-Tate formal group becomes isomorphic to the multiplicative formal group over $\widehat{L}_\infty$. For such height 1 Lubin–Tate extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, an analogue of the p-adic regulator map has been constructed by Zhang [Zha04]. In the case $V = \mathbb{Q}_p(1)$, we deduce from a result of de Shalit [dS87] that the two-variable regulator map $\mathcal{L}_V^G$ recovers the p-adic regulator maps for *all* Lubin–Tate subextensions of K_∞ (see Section 7.4). We expect that this should hold for arbitrary good crystalline representations V; we shall return to this issue in a subsequent paper.

In Sections 5 and 6, we use the 2-variable p-adic regulator to study global Galois representations. Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ in which p splits completely, and let K_∞ be an abelian p-adic Lie extension

---

The authors' research is supported by the following grants: EPSRC postdoctoral fellowship EP/F04304X/1 (Loeffler); EPSRC postdoctoral fellowship EP/F043007/1 (Zerbes).

of K which is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the following properties hold: (1) each prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of K decomposes into finitely many primes in K_∞, and (2) for each prime $\mathfrak{q}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, the local extension $K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of the type considered above. Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. In Section 5.2, we extend the regulator map to a map

$$\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V} : Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

where $Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, V)$ is the direct sum of the Iwasawa cohomology groups at each of the primes $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ and $\widehat{L}_\infty$ is the p-adic completion of a certain unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. There is a natural localisation map

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, V)$$

where $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$ denotes the inverse limit of global cohomology groups unramified outside a fixed set of primes S. As in the case of Perrin-Riou's cyclotomic regulator map, our map $\mathcal{L}^G_V$ allows elements of Iwasawa cohomology (or, more generally, of its exterior powers) to be interpreted as $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}$-valued distributions on G (after extending scalars). Assuming a plausible conjecture analogous to Leopoldt's conjecture, we use the map $\mathcal{L}^G_V$ to define a certain submodule $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith}}(V)$ of the distributions on G with values in an exterior power of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}$. Following Perrin-Riou [PR95], we call $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith}}(V)$ the *module of 2-variable L-functions*. We conjecture that there exist special elements of the top exterior power of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$ ("Euler systems") whose images under the regulator map are p-adic L-functions, and that these should generate $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith}}(V)$ as a module over the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$.

In Section 7, we investigate in detail two instances of this conjecture that occur when the field K is imaginary quadratic. We first show that for the representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$, our regulator map coincides with the map constructed in [Yag82]. In this paper, Yager shows that his map sends the Euler system of elliptic units to Katz's p-adic L-function. As the second example, we study the restriction to $\mathcal{G}_K$ of the representation attached to a weight 2 modular form: here we predict the existence of multiple distributions, depending on a choice of Frobenius eigenvalue at each prime above p (Conjecture 7.15), and we show that our conjectures imply the known properties of the 2-variable p-adic L-functions constructed by Perrin-Riou [PR93] (for f ordinary) and by B.D. Kim [Kim10] (for f non-ordinary).

For lack of space, the formulation of the general conjecture (for global Galois representations arising from motives) as well as its relation to the conjectures in [FK06] will be the subject of a future paper.

2. SETUP AND NOTATION

2.1. **Fields and their extensions.** Let p be an odd prime, and denote by μ_{p^∞} the set of p-power roots of unity. Let K be either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For a finite extension L of K, define the Galois groups $\mathcal{G}_L = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)$ and $H_L = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/L(\mu_{p^\infty}))$. A p-adic Lie extension of K is a Galois extension K_∞ such that $\operatorname{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ is a compact p-adic Lie group of finite dimension.

For $n \ge 1$, let $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})$ and similarly $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$. Define $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \varinjlim \mathbb{Q}_n$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty} = \varinjlim \mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$. Write Γ for the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which we identify with $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ via the cyclotomic character χ. Then $\Gamma \cong \Delta \times \Gamma_1$, where Δ is cyclic of order $p-1$ and $\Gamma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, so in particular $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}$) is a p-adic Lie extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}_p$) of dimension 1. We fix a topological generator γ of Γ_1.

Let F_n denote the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree p^n, and let $F_\infty = \bigcup_n F_n$. We write $\mathcal{O}_n$ for the ring of integers of F_n, $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ for the union of these, and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ for the p-adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. We denote by $\widehat{F}_\infty$ the fraction field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$. We write $U = \operatorname{Gal}(F_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which we identify with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ via the canonical character η which sends arithmetic Frobenius to 1.

2.2. **Iwasawa algebras and power series.** Let G be a compact p-adic Lie group, and L a complete discretely valued extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. We let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) = \varprojlim_U \mathcal{O}[G/H]$, where the limit is taken over open subgroups H. If $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, we sometimes omit the subscript and write $\Lambda(G)$ for $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$.

Let I denote the radical of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$. Then $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) = \mathcal{O} \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$, where the completion is with respect to the I-adic topology. We shall mostly be concerned with the case when G is commutative: in this case I is the kernel of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[\Delta]$, where the finite group Δ is the quotient of G by its pro-p Sylow subgroup.

Remark 2.1. Note that if $[L:\mathbb{Q}_p]$ is finite, then $\Lambda(G)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_L$ is already complete; but we shall need to consider the case where L has infinite residue field, where this does not hold.

We let $\Lambda_L(G)=L\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}\Lambda(G)$. We equip $\Lambda_L(G)$ with the strongest locally convex topology compatible with the I-adic topology on $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$. It is well known that $\Lambda_L(G)$ can be identified with the continuous dual of the space $C(G,L)$ of L-valued continuous functions on G, with the ring structure defined by convolution. The topology with which we are equipping $\Lambda_L(G)$, the topology can be characterised as the topology of convergence on compact subsets of $C(G,L)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_L(G)$ be the space of L-valued distributions on G (the continuous dual of the space $C^{\mathrm{la}}(G,L)$ of L-valued locally analytic functions on G), with the ring structure again defined by convolution. The natural map $C^{\mathrm{la}}(G,L)\hookrightarrow C(G,L)$ has dense image, and hence induces an injection $\Lambda_L(G)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{H}_L(G)$. We equip $\mathcal{H}_L(G)$ with the *strong* topology, with respect to which $\mathcal{H}_L(G)$ is a nuclear Fréchet space.

Lemma 2.2. *The natural map $\Lambda_L(G)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{H}_L(G)$ is continuous (with respect to the topologies described above).*

Proof. Let us choose a basis of neighbourhoods G_n of the identity in G consisting of good analytic open subgroups. Then G_n is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-points of an affinoid rigid analytic group $\mathbb{G}_n$, and we may define the space $C^{\mathbb{G}_n-\mathrm{an}}(G,L)$ of functions on G whose restriction to each coset of $\mathbb{G}_n$ is the restriction of an L-valued analytic function on $\mathbb{G}_n$.

Recall that $\mathcal{H}_L(G)$ is the topological inverse limit of the strong duals $C^{\mathbb{G}_n-\mathrm{an}}(G,L)'_b$. It therefore suffices to show that the natural map $\Lambda_L(G)\to C^{\mathbb{G}_n-\mathrm{an}}(G,L)'_b$ is continuous. But the natural inclusion $f: C^{\mathbb{G}_n-\mathrm{an}}(G,L)\hookrightarrow C(G,L)$ is a compact map, so if B is the unit ball of $C^{\mathbb{G}_n-\mathrm{an}}(G,L)$, $\overline{f(B)}$ is c-compact and bounded by [Sch02, Remark 16.3]. Hence it is compactoid, and therefore any sequence of elements in $\Lambda_L(G)$ which converge in the topology above must converge uniformly on $\overline{f(B)}$. ☐

For the group $\Gamma\cong\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ as in Section 2.1, we may identify $\mathcal{H}_L(\Gamma)$ with the space of formal power series

$$\{f\in L[\Delta][[X]] : f \text{ converges everywhere on the open unit } p\text{-adic disc}\},$$

where X corresponds to $\gamma-1$. We can then identify $\Lambda_L(\Gamma)$ with the subring of $\mathcal{H}_L(\Gamma)$ consisting of power series with bounded coefficients. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)$ as the subring of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ defined by power series over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, rather than $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Delta]$.

For a character $\eta:\Delta\to\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, we write $e_\eta=(p-1)^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta}\eta^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma$. If M is a $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)$-module, its η-isotypical component is given by $M^\eta=e_\eta M$. When $\eta=1$, we write M^Δ in place of M^η.

2.3. **Fontaine rings.** We review the definitions of some of Fontaine's rings that we use in this paper; details can be found in [Ber04] or [LLZ10]. We write $\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for the ring of power series $f(\pi)\in\mathbb{Q}_p[[\pi]]$ such that $f(X)$ converges everywhere on the open unit p-adic disc. Equip $\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with actions of Γ and a Frobenius operator φ by $g.\pi=(\pi+1)^{\chi(g)}-1$ and $\varphi(\pi)=(\pi+1)^p-1$, so in particular φ is injective. We define a left inverse ψ of φ satisfying

$$\varphi\circ\psi(f(\pi))=\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\zeta^p=1}f(\zeta(1+\pi)-1).$$

Inside $\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we have subrings $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}=\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ and $\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}=\mathbb{Q}_p\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which are stable under the actions of φ, ψ and Γ. Write $t=\log(1+\pi)\in\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $q=\varphi(\pi)/\pi\in\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. A formal power series calculation shows that $g(t)=\chi(g)t$ for $g\in\Gamma$ and $\varphi(t)=pt$.

The action of Γ on $\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ with $(\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p})^{\psi=0}$, the Mellin transform

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}:\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)&\to(\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p})^{\psi=0}\\ f(\gamma-1)&\mapsto f(\gamma-1)\cdot(\pi+1).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{M}$ identifies $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)$ with $(\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p})^{\psi=0}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)$ with $(1+\pi)\varphi(\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p})$, and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma_1)$ with $(1+\pi)\varphi(\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. (See [PR01, B.2.8] for more details.)

2.4. **Crystalline and de Rham representations.** Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and V a continuous representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ on a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space of dimension d. Recall that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ denotes the space $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}})^{\mathcal{G}_K}$, where $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ is Fontaine's ring of periods. This space $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ is a filtered K-vector space of dimension $\le d$, and we say V is *de Rham* if equality holds. If $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\operatorname{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ denotes the j-th step in the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$. We say V is *positive* if $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) = \operatorname{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ (following the standard, but unfortunate, convention that positive representations are precisely those with non-positive Hodge-Tate weights).

If L is a finite extension of K, we shall sometimes write $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{(L)}(V)$ for the Dieudonné module of the restriction of V to $\mathcal{G}_L$, which can be canonically identified with $L \otimes_K \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$.

For an integer j, $V(j)$ denotes the jth Tate twist of V, i.e. $V(j) = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e_j$ where $\mathcal{G}_K$ acts on e_j via χ^j, where $\chi : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is the cyclotomic character. We have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(j)) = t^{-j}\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \otimes e_j.$$

For any $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, $v_j = t^{-j} v \otimes e_j$ denotes its image in $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(j))$.

We write

$$\exp_{K,V} : K \otimes_F \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \to H^1(K, V)$$

for the Bloch-Kato exponential of V over K (c.f. [BK90]) and

$$\exp^*_{K,V} : H^1(K, V^*(1)) \to \operatorname{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))$$

for its dual.

We also consider the crystalline period ring $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$, and define similarly $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})^{\mathcal{G}_K}$. We shall only need this construction when $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified, in which case $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ is a filtered K-vector space of dimension $\le d$ endowed with a Frobenius φ. We say V is *crystalline* if $\dim_K \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) = d$, in which case V is automatically de Rham, and there is a canonical isomorphism of filtered K-vector spaces $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$.

Finally, assume that L is a number field, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of L dividing p. Fix a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\overline{L}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, and identify $\mathcal{G}_{L_\mathfrak{p}}$ with the decomposition subgroup of $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{G}_L$. If V is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_L$, we write $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V)$ for $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V|_{\mathcal{G}_{L_\mathfrak{p}}})$.

2.5. **(φ,Γ)-modules and Wach modules.** Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ (that is, a finite-rank free module over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with a continuous action of $\mathcal{G}_K$). Denote the (φ,Γ)-module of T by $\mathbb{D}_K(T)$.

Note 2.3. *To simplify the notation, we write $\mathbb{D}(T)$ instead of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(T)$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that T is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. If K is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, then we can canonically identify $\mathbb{D}_K(T)$ with $\mathbb{D}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$, where φ acts on $\mathcal{O}_K$ via the arithmetic Frobenius.*

Proof. By construction, $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a subring of Fontaine's ring $\mathbb{A}$, so we have a canonical injective map $i : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ which is compatible with the actions of φ and Γ and whose image maps surjectively onto the field of norms of $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$; hence i identifies $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$ with $\mathbb{A}_K$.

The map i also induces a canonical map

$$\mathbb{D}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow T \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{A}.$$

It image is an étale free finitely generated module over $\mathbb{A}_K$ equipped with semi-linear actions of φ and Γ, so it is equal to $\mathbb{D}_K(T)$. ☐

Assume now that K is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ of rank d, and let $V = T[p^{-1}]$. Denote the Wach module of V (respectively T) by $\mathbb{N}_K(V)$ (respectively $\mathbb{N}_K(T)$), which is a free module of rank d over $\mathbb{B}_K^+ = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ (respectively $\mathbb{A}_K^+ = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$). Again, we simplify the notation by writing $\mathbb{N}(T)$ for $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(T)$. The following result is immediate from Lemma 2.4 and the construction of Wach modules (c.f. [Wac96], [Ber04]).

Lemma 2.5. *If T is a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{N}_K(T) \cong \mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$.*

Recall (c.f. [Ber04]) that Γ acts on both of these objects, and there is a map $\varphi : \mathbb{N}_K(T)[\pi^{-1}] \to \mathbb{N}_K(T)[\varphi(\pi)^{-1}]$ which preserves $\mathbb{N}_K(T)$ if T is positive (and similarly for V). For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can identify $\mathbb{N}_K(T(j))$ with $\pi^{-j}\mathbb{N}_K(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e_j$, where e_j is as above. Given an R-module M with an action of φ and a submodule N, φ^*N denotes the R-submodule of M generated by $\varphi(N)$, e.g. $\varphi^*\mathbb{N}_K(T)$ denotes the $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$-submodule of $\mathbb{N}_K(T)[\pi^{-1}]$ generated by $\varphi(\mathbb{N}_K(T))$.

2.6. **Iwasawa cohomology and the Fontaine isomorphism.** Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$. Let K_∞ be a p-adic Lie extension of K; so K_∞ is a Galois extension of K such that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ is a compact p-adic Lie group.

Definition 2.6. *We define*

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, T) := \varprojlim_n H^i(K_n, T),$$

where $\{K_n\}$ is a sequence of finite extensions of K contained in K_∞ such that $K_\infty = \bigcup_n K_n$. If $V = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T$, we write

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, V) := \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^i(K_n, V)$$

(which is independent of the choice of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice $T \subset V$).

In the case when $K_\infty = K(\mu_{p^\infty})$, we can describe $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, T)$ using Fontaine's theory of (φ, Γ)-modules. Let $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$, which we identify with a subgroup of Γ.

The following result is originally due to Fontaine (unpublished); for a reference see [CC99, Section II].

Theorem 2.7. *We have a canonical isomorphism of $\Lambda(\Gamma_K)$-modules*

$$h^1_{\mathrm{Iw},T} : \mathbb{D}_K(T)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K(\mu_{p^\infty}), T). \tag{1}$$

If T is a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then the action of Γ extends to an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on both sides, and the map $h^1_{\mathrm{Iw},T}$ commutes with the action of this larger group. We shall apply this below in the case when K is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so $\Gamma_K = \Gamma$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \Gamma \times U_F$, where $U_F = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Now let K be a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and assume that V is a crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ whose Hodge-Tate weights lie in the set $[a, b]$. The following result is due to Berger [Ber03, Theorem A.2].

Theorem 2.8. *We have $\mathbb{D}_K(T)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^{a-1}\mathbb{N}(T)$. Moreover, if V has no quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p(a)$, then $\mathbb{D}_K(T)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^a\mathbb{N}(T)$.*

If $a = 0$ and V has no quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we write $\mathbb{N}_K(T)^{\psi=1}$ instead of $\mathbb{D}_K(T)^{\psi=1}$. Then (1) becomes

$$h^1_{\mathrm{Iw},T} : \mathbb{N}_K(T)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K(\mu_{p^\infty}), T). \tag{2}$$

3. LOCAL THEORY: YAGER MODULES AND WACH MODULES

3.1. **Some cohomological preliminaries.** As in Section 2.1, let F_∞ be the unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ its ring of integers. Denote by $\widehat{F}_\infty$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ their respective p-adic completions. Define the Galois group $U = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ which we identify with the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_p$, mapping 1 to the arithmetic Frobenius $\sigma \in U$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tau : U \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ be a continuous character (where E is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$). Then the module*

$$H^0(U, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty(\tau)) = \left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E\right)^{U=\tau^{-1}}$$

is free of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_E$, and

$$H^1(U, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty(\tau)) = 0.$$

Proof. See e.g. [Fon90, Proposition 1.2.4]. □

3.2. **The Yager module.** In this section we develop an additive version of the construction in [Yag82, §2] in order to construct a certain module which, in a sense we shall make precise below, encodes the periods for the unramified characters of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let F_n be the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree p^n, and denote by $\mathcal{O}_n$ its ring of integers. Let $U_n = \operatorname{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)$.

Definition 3.2. *Let $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$. We define*

$$y(x_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in U/U_n} x_n^\sigma\,[\sigma^{-1}] \in \mathcal{O}_n[U/U_n].$$

The ring $\mathcal{O}_n[U/U_n]$ has the following two actions:

- An action $\Delta_1(U)$ of U/U_n, arising from its action on $\mathcal{O}_n$ (acting trivially on U/U_n).
- A second action $\Delta_2(U)$ of U/U_n given by the canonical character $U/U_n \to \mathbb{Z}_p[U/U_n]^\times$.

Note that these two actions commute with each other; and that $\Delta_1(U)$ acts by ring automorphisms, but is not $\mathcal{O}_n$-linear, while $\Delta_2(U)$ is $\mathcal{O}_n$-linear, but does not preserve the ring structure. We let S_n be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of R_n where the two actions agree; thus S_n has a uniquely determined structure as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[U/U_n]$-module, and it is clear that the map y above is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p[U/U_n]$-modules $\mathcal{O}_n \to S_n$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$, then the image of $y(x_n)$ in $\mathcal{O}_n[U/U_{n-1}]$ is equal to $y(\operatorname{Tr}_{n/(n-1)} x_n)$. In particular, the reduction has coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Clear from the formula defining the map y. □

Passing to inverse limits with respect to the trace maps, we deduce that there is an isomorphism of $\Lambda(U)$-modules

$$y : \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_n \xrightarrow{\cong} S_\infty := \varprojlim_n S_n. \tag{3}$$

We shall always equip S_∞ with the inverse limit topology (arising from the p-adic topology of the finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module S_n). This topology is compact and Hausdorff, and coincides with the I-adic topology where I is the radical of $\Lambda(U)$.

Definition 3.4. *We refer to S_∞ as the* Yager module, *since it is closely related to the objects appearing in* [Yag82, §2].

We will need the following result on the structure of $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_n$:

Proposition 3.5. *The module $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_n$ is free of rank 1 over $\Lambda(U)$. In particular, the natural map $\varprojlim_m \mathcal{O}_m \to \mathcal{O}_n$ is surjective for each n.*

Proof. We first check the surjectivity statement. Let k_n be the residue field of F_n. Since the extension k_{n+1}/k_n is separable, the trace map $k_{n+1} \to k_n$ is nonzero; as it is k_n-linear, it is surjective. Hence the trace map $\mathcal{O}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{O}_n$ is also surjective.

It is well known that for each n, $\mathcal{O}_n$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathbb{Z}_p[U/U_n]$. Elements of $\mathcal{O}_n$ that generate it as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[U/U_n]$-module are called *integral normal basis generators* of $F_n/\mathbb{Q}_p$. So we must show that there exists a trace-compatible sequence $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$ such that x_n is an integral normal basis generator of $F_n/\mathbb{Q}_p$ for each n.

It is known (see Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.12 of [Pic10]) that if $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is such that the reduction $\overline{x} \in k_n$ is a normal basis generator for the residue field extension $k_n/\mathbb{F}_p$, then x is an integral normal basis generator for $F_n/\mathbb{Q}_p$; and $\overline{x} \in k_n$ is a normal basis generator for $k_n/\mathbb{F}_p$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}_{k_n/\mathbb{F}_p}(\overline{x}) \neq 0$. So it suffices to construct a sequence of elements $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$ that are trace-compatible and satisfy $x_0 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. This is clear from the surjectivity statement above. □

Since $S_n \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]$, we may identify S_∞ with a submodule of the ring

$$\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U) = \varprojlim_n \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n].$$

The inclusion $S_n \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]$ is a closed topological embedding (where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]$ has the p-adic topology) and we deduce that $S_\infty \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)$ is also a closed embedding, where $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)$ has its natural I-adic topology.

The ring $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)$ also has two actions Δ_1 and Δ_2 of U, and we have the following result:

Proposition 3.6. *The image of* S_∞ *is* $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)^{\Delta_1=\Delta_2}$.

Proof. As noted above, we have an embedding $S_\infty \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)$, which clearly must land in $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)^{\Delta_1=\Delta_2}$.

To show that this map is an isomorphism, we must show that if $x \in \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)^{\Delta_1=\Delta_2}$, then the image of x in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]$ lies in S_n for all n. To prove this, we note that $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty)^{U_n} = \mathcal{O}_n$, and the Δ_2 action of U_n on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]$ is trivial; hence $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]^{\Delta_{12}(U)} = \mathcal{O}_n[U/U_n]^{\Delta_{12}(U/U_n)} = S_n$ as required. ☐

The $\Lambda(U)$-module S_∞ encodes the periods for the characters of U, in a sense which we shall now explain. Let $\tau : U \to E^\times$ denote a continuous character, where E is some finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then τ induces a map $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U) \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$, which we also denote by τ.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $\Omega \in S_\infty$. *Then* $\tau(\Omega) \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ *is a period for the character* τ^{-1}*, in the sense that*

$$\sigma(\tau(\Omega)) = \tau(\sigma) \cdot \tau(\Omega).$$

Moreover, if Ω *generates* S_∞ *as a* $\Lambda(U)$*-module, then* $\tau(\Omega) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ *for all* τ.

Proof. Let I_n denote the kernel of the map $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[[U]] \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[U/U_n]$, $\omega_n = \Omega + I_n \in S_n$ and let $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$ be such that $y(x_n) = \omega_n \pmod{I_n}$. Then we have

$$\omega_n = \sum_{\sigma \in U/U_n} x_n^\sigma [\sigma^{-1}] \pmod{I_n},$$

so

$$\tau(\Omega) = \sum_{\sigma \in U/U_n} x_n^\sigma \tau(\sigma)^{-1} \pmod{\tau(I_n)}.$$

We note that a group element $\alpha \in U/U_n$ acts on this element as multiplication by $\tau(\alpha)$; so it is a period for τ^{-1} in $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E\right)/\tau(I_n)$.

It suffices, therefore, to check that the ideals $\tau(I_n)$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ have zero intersection. By construction, I_n is generated as an $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$-module by $u^{p^n} - 1$ for any generator u of U. Hence $\tau(I_n)$ is generated by $\tau(u^{p^n}) - 1$. By the continuity of τ, the intersection of these ideals is 0.

Moreover, the ideal $\tau(I_0)$ generated by $\tau(u) - 1$ is contained in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_E \subset \mathcal{O}_E$, as the only continuous homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ is the trivial map. Hence $\tau(\Omega) = x_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E}$. In particular, if x_0 is a unit, then $\tau(\Omega)$ is a unit for all τ. ☐

Remark 3.8. After the results in this section had been proven, we discovered that similar results had been obtained by Pasol in his unpublished PhD thesis [Pas05, §2.5]. Our module S_∞ is the same as his module $\mathbb{D}_0$. He uses the module $\mathbb{D}_0$ to relate Katz's 2-variable p-adic L-functions attached to a CM elliptic curve to the modular symbols construction by Greenberg and Stevens [GS93].

3.3. p-adic representations.

Let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $V = T[\frac{1}{p}]$ is crystalline.

Remark 3.9. We could also work with an $\mathcal{O}_K$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We restrict to the case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in order to simplify the notation; the extension to general K is immediate.

Write $\mathbb{N}_n(T)$ for the Wach module of the restriction of T to $\mathcal{G}_{F_n}$, which is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_n$ by Lemma 2.5. This module also has an action of Γ (trivial on $\mathcal{O}_n$) and of φ (acting on $\mathbb{N}(T)$ in the usual way and on $\mathcal{O}_n$ as the arithmetic Frobenius map). The trace maps $\mathcal{O}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{O}_n$ induce maps $\mathbb{N}_{n+1}(T) \to \mathbb{N}_n(T)$ by extending scalars.

For each n we equip the module $\mathbb{N}_n(T)$ with the *weak* topology (the topology generated by the submodules $(p, \pi)^j \mathbb{N}_n(T)$ for $j \geq 0$). Then $\mathbb{N}_n(T)$ is compact and Hausdorff, and the trace maps are continuous (and surjective, since $\mathrm{Tr} : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ is surjective for all $n \geq 1$, as shown in the proof of Proposition 3.5).

Definition 3.10. *Let* $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{N}_n(T)$*, where the inverse limit is taken with respect to the trace maps.*

We equip $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ with the inverse limit topology, which is again compact Hausdorff. Since the maps $\mathbb{N}_{n+1}(T) \to \mathbb{N}_n(T)$ are induced from the trace maps $\mathcal{O}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{O}_n$ and hence have essentially nothing to do with T, one might well expect that the module $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ can be described simply in terms of $\mathbb{N}(T)$ and $S_\infty \cong \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_n$. However, the algebraic tensor product $\mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty$ is not the right object: we must complete it with respect to the I-adic topology, where I is the ideal of $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(U)$ generated by $(p, \pi, u-1)$ where u is a generator of U.

Note 3.11. *This completion* $\mathbb{N}(T) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty$ *is clearly compact, and it is a finite-rank free module over the completion* $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(U)$.

Proposition 3.12. *We have a topological isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \cong \mathbb{N}(T) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty.$$

Proof. Let us fix $n \ge 0$. Then the natural surjection $S_\infty \to S_n$ extends to a surjection $\mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \to \mathbb{N}_n(T)$. This extends to a continuous surjection $\mathbb{N}(T) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{N}_n(T)$, since I acts topologically nilpotently on $\mathbb{N}_n(T)$.

By the universal property of inverse limits, these maps assemble to a continuous map $\mathbb{N}(T) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \to \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$. Since inverse limits are exact for compact modules, this is still a surjection; and its kernel is trivial, since the kernel of $\mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \to \mathbb{N}_n(T)$ is the submodule

$$(u^{p^n} - 1) \cdot \left(\mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty\right),$$

and for any m there is n such that $u^{p^n} - 1 \in I^m$. Being a continuous bijective map between compact Hausdorff spaces, we conclude that this map is a topological isomorphism. □

By construction, $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ has actions of Γ and of U, which extend to a continuous action of $\Lambda(\Gamma \times U) = \Lambda(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(U)$.

Assumption 3.13. *From now on, assume that T has non-negative Hodge-Tate weights.*

Define $\varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) = \varprojlim_n \varphi^*\mathbb{N}_n(T)$; then Assumption 3.13 implies that we have a canonical inclusion

$$\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \hookrightarrow \varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$$

whose cokernel is annihilated by q^h, for any h such that the Hodge-Tate weights of T lie in $[0, h]$. Note that the map $\varphi : \mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \to \varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ commutes with the action of G. Similarly, the maps ψ on $\mathbb{N}_n(T)$ for each n give us a map

$$\psi : \varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \to \mathbb{N}(T),$$

which is a left inverse of φ.

Note 3.14. *It is clear from the definition that if $n_1, \dots, n_d$ are an $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-basis of $\mathbb{N}(T)$, and $\widehat{\Omega}$ is a $\Lambda(U)$-basis of S_∞, then the vectors $n_i \hat{\otimes} \widehat{\Omega}$ are a basis of $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ as a module over $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(U)$. Moreover, the vectors*

$$\{(1+\pi)^i \varphi(n_j) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\Omega} : 0 \le i \le p-1, 1 \le j \le d\}$$

generate $\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)$ as a module over $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(U)$.

Lemma 3.15. *The Frobenius of $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ corresponds to the map*

$$\varphi \hat{\otimes} \varphi : \left(\mathbb{N}(T) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty\right) \to \left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty\right),$$

where the operator φ on S_∞ is given by the arithmetic Frobenius element of U.

Proof. This is clear from Lemma 2.4 and the fact that the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_n \cong S_n$ is U-equivariant. □

The following proposition will be important for constructing the regulator map:

Proposition 3.16. *We have*

$$\left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)\right)^{\psi=0} = \left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty.$$

Proof. Choose a basis $n_1, \dots, n_d$ of $\mathbb{N}(T)$ as above. Then any vector $v \in \varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$ can be uniquely written as

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\sum_{j=0}^{d}(1+\pi)^i\varphi(x_{ij})\cdot(\varphi(n_j)\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\,\widehat{\Omega}),$$

for some $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\, \Lambda(U)$ (where we regard φ as acting trivially on $\Lambda(U)$).

Applying ψ, we have

$$\psi(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\sum_{j=0}^{d}\psi\left((1+\pi)^i\right)x_{ij}\cdot(n_j\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\,\Delta_1(u)^{-1}\widehat{\Omega}).$$

Since u is invertible in $\Lambda(U)$, $\Delta_1(u)^{-1}\widehat{\Omega}$ is also a $\Lambda(U)$-generator of S_∞. Moreover, it is well known that $\psi\left((1+\pi)^i\right)$ is 1 if $i=0$ and 0 if $1 \le i \le p-1$. So we have $\psi(v)=0$ if and only if v is in the submodule

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1}(1+\pi)^i\varphi(\mathbb{N}(T))\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\,S_\infty = \varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\,S_\infty.$$

□

Corollary 3.17. *Let $m_1, \dots, m_d$ be a basis for $\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0}$ as a $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module, and let $\widehat{\Omega}$ be a $\Lambda(U)$-basis of S_∞. Then the vectors*

$$\left(m_i\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\,\widehat{\Omega}\right)_{i=1,\dots,n}$$

are a basis of $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=0}$ as a $\Lambda(U\times\Gamma)$-module.

3.4. **Recovering unramified twists.** Let us pick a continuous character τ of U, taking values in $\mathcal{O}_E$ for some finite extension E of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. There is a “twisting” map from $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(U)$ to itself, acting on $u \in U$ by $u \mapsto \tau(u)u$. Taking the completed tensor product with $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ we have a map

$$\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U) \to \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)$$

which is $\Delta_1(U)$-equivariant, but twists the $\Delta_2(U)$ action by τ. It is easy to see that this restricts to a map

$$\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \xrightarrow{\cong} S_\infty \cdot \left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E\right)^{U=\tau}.$$

Recall that by Lemma 3.1, $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E\right)^{U=\tau}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_E$-module of rank 1.

Proposition 3.18. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty\right)^{U=\tau} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}(T(\tau^{-1})), \tag{4}$$

commuting with the actions of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$, Γ, φ and ψ (where the latter two elements act on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ as the arithmetic Frobenius and its inverse).

Proof. We know that there is a canonical embedding of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ into Fontaine's ring $\mathbb{A}$, and also of $\mathbb{N}(T)$ into $\mathbb{D}(T) = (T \otimes \mathbb{A})^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$; hence there is a canonical map from the left-hand side of (4) to

$$T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A},$$

which is clearly injective (since $\mathbb{A}$ is an integral domain). The image of this map is contained in

$$\left(T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}\right)^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}=\tau} = \mathbb{D}(T(\tau^{-1})),$$

the (φ,Γ)-module of $T(\tau^{-1})$. In other words, the left-hand side of (4) identifies $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)\,\hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(U),\tau^{-1}}\,\mathcal{O}_E$ with an $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$-submodule of $\mathbb{D}(T(\tau^{-1}))$ of rank d, which evidently satisfies the conditions defining the Wach module $\mathbb{N}(T(\tau^{-1})) \subset \mathbb{D}(T(\tau^{-1}))$. □

Remark 3.19. Since $\left(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty\right)^{U=\tau}$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_E$, any choice of basis of this space gives a non-canonical isomorphism between $\mathbb{N}(T(\tau^{-1}))$ and $\mathbb{N}(T)$ with its φ-action twisted by $\tau(\sigma)$. However, the isomorphism (4) *is* canonical and does not depend on any such choice.

Theorem 3.20. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$i_\tau : \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}_\infty(T(\tau^{-1}))$$

which commutes with the actions of φ, Γ, $\mathbb{A}^+_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_E$, *and satisfies*

$$i_\tau(u \circ x) = \tau(u) u \circ i_\tau(x)$$

for $u \in U$ *and* $x \in \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$.

Proof. This follows immediately by tensoring the map

$$\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \xrightarrow{\cong} S_\infty \cdot \left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E\right)^{U=\tau}$$

with $\mathbb{N}(T)$, and using the isomorphism (4). □

4. THE 2-VARIABLE P-ADIC REGULATOR

4.1. **A lemma on universal norms.** Let F be a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_F$.

Definition 4.1. *The representation* T *is* good crystalline *if* $V = T[1/p]$ *is crystalline and has non-negative Hodge-Tate weights.*

By [Ber03, Theorem A.3], for any good crystalline T there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=1}.$$

We define a "residue" map

$$r_{F,V} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \to \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

by composing the above isomorphism with the natural map

$$\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}(T) \to \frac{\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}(V)}{\mathbb{N}(V)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{N}(V)}{\pi\mathbb{N}(V)} \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).$$

As is shown in the proof of [Ber03, Theorem A.3], the image of the map $r_{F,V}$ is contained in $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}$; in particular, if the latter space is zero, then $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1}$.

Let us now take T to be a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and consider its restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{F_n}$, where F_n is as above the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree p^n. Note that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V|\mathcal{G}_{F_n}) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F_n$.

Proposition 4.2. *There is an* n_0 *(depending on* V*) such that*

$$\left(F_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1} = \left(F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1}.$$

Proof. Since the spaces $\left(F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1}$ are an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional spaces whose union is $\left(F_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1}$, it suffices to show that the latter also has finite dimension. This follows from the fact that F_∞ is a field, and $(F_\infty)^{\varphi=1} = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \left(F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1} \le \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$, by Propositions 1.4.2(i) and 1.6.1 of [Fon94]. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(T)$ *denote the* $\mathbb{Z}_p$*-lattice in* $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ *which is the image of* $\mathbb{N}(T)$. *If* $m \ge n \ge n_0$, $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_m(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$, *and* $y = \mathrm{cores}_{F_m/F_n}(x) \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$, *then we have*

$$r_{F_n,V}(y) \in p^{m-n}\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(T).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that for any $n \ge 0$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{n+1}(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \arrow[r, "r_{F_{n+1},V}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cores}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}"'] & \left(F_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}"'] \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \arrow[r, "r_{F_n,V}"] & \left(F_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $n \ge n_0$, then the trace map on the right-hand side is simply multiplication by $[F_{n+1} : F_n] = p$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$. Then for any $n \geq 0$, the image y of x in $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \cong \left(\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}_n(T)\right)^{\psi=1}$ is contained in $\mathbb{N}_n(T)^{\psi=1}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the preceding proposition, since $r_{F_n,V}(y)$ must be divisible by arbitrarily large powers of p and hence is zero. ☐

4.2. **The regulator map for the unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension.** For the rest of Section 4, we assume that T is a good crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Proposition 4.5. *We have a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \cong \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1}.$$

Proof. By [Ber03, Theorem A.1], we can canonically identify $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$ with $\left(\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}_n(T)\right)^{\psi=1}$. Moreover, under these isomorphisms the corestriction maps from $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{n+1}(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$ to $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}((F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$ correspond to the maps

$$\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}_{n+1}(T) \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}_n(T)$$

induced from the trace maps on the Wach modules. By Theorem 4.4, we have an isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) = \varprojlim_n \left(\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}_n(T)\right)^{\psi=1} \cong \varprojlim_n \mathbb{N}_n(T)^{\psi=1} = \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1},$$

which finishes the proof. ☐

Define $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ to be the algebra of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued distributions on Γ. Then as shown in [LLZ11, Proposition 2.11], we have a $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-equivariant embedding

$$\left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V),$$

which is continous with respect to the weak topology on $\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0}$ and the usual Fréchet topology on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$. Moreover, we have an embedding

$$S_\infty \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[[U]] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U),$$

which is continuous with respect to the weak topology on S_∞ and the Fréchet topology on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U)$. Hence the natural map on the algebraic tensor product

$$\left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \to \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).$$

extends to a continous, $\Lambda(U \times \Gamma)$-equivariant map

$$\left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} \hat{\otimes} S_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) = \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U \times \Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).$$

Definition 4.6. *We define the p-adic regulator*

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{U\times\Gamma} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U \times \Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

to be the composition of the above maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} \left(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U \times \Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use that $\varphi^*\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=0} \cong \varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty$ by Proposition 3.16.

By construction, $\mathcal{L}_V^{U\times\Gamma}$ is a morphism of $\Lambda(U \times \Gamma)$-modules. As suggested by the notation, we will usually invert p and regard $\mathcal{L}_V^{U\times\Gamma}$ as a map on $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), V)$, associating to each compatible system of cohomology classes in $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), V)$ a distribution on $U \times \Gamma$ with values in $\widehat{F}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$.

4.3. **The general two-variable regulator.** In this section, we extend the construction from Section 4.2 to a slightly more general situation. Let K_∞ be any abelian p-adic Lie extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)$ such that $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has dimension 2. Then K_∞ is the composition of the field $F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty})$ above with some finite unramified extension $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree prime to p. We therefore have $G = \Gamma \times U \times \Psi$, where $\Psi = \operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let $L_\infty = LF_\infty$, which is the maximal unramified subextension of K_∞, and let $\widehat{L}_\infty$ be its p-adic completion. We let $\mathcal{U} = U \times \Psi = \operatorname{Gal}(L_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which is topologically cyclic, generated by the arithmetic Frobenius σ. Note that the action of $\mathcal{U}$ on L_∞ extends continuously to $\widehat{L}_\infty$, and $(\widehat{L}_\infty)^{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let T be a good crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and $V = T[1/p]$, as before. Clearly the representation $T' = \operatorname{Ind}_L^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(T)$ is also good crystalline, so we may apply the theory of the previous section to T'. From Shapiro's lemma for each finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in K_∞, we have

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty}), T') = H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, T).$$

On the other hand, since L is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V') = \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V|_{\mathcal{G}_L}) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U \times \Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L = \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(U \times \Gamma)$. Thus we obtain a map

$$\mathcal{L}^{U\times\Gamma}_{V'} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, T) \to \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(U \times \Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).$$

We extend this to a map into $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ by defining

$$\mathcal{L}^G_V(x) := \sum_{\tau \in \Psi} [\tau] \cdot \mathcal{L}^{U\times\Gamma}_{V'}(\tau^{-1} \circ x).$$

We can summarise the properties of the map we have constructed by the following proposition:

Theorem 4.7. *Let K_∞ be an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)$, such that $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an abelian p-adic Lie group of dimension 2. Let L_∞ be the maximal unramified subextension of K_∞.*

Then for any good crystalline representation T of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, there exists a morphism of $\Lambda(G)$-modules

$$\mathcal{L}^G_V : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

such that:

(1) *for any finite extension $F \subset K_\infty$ such that F is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}^G_V"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \arrow[d] \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), V) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}^{G'}_V"] & \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G') \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $G' = \operatorname{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the right-hand vertical arrow is the map on distributions corresponding to the projection $G \twoheadrightarrow G'$, and the map $\mathcal{L}^{G'}_V$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^{G'}_V = \sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p)} [\tau] \cdot \mathcal{L}^{\Gamma}_{F,V}(\tau^{-1} \circ x).$$

(2) *For any $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$ and any character ε of Γ, the distribution $\mathrm{pr}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{L}_{G,V}(x))$ on $\mathcal{U}$, which is defined by twisting by ε and pushing forward along the projection to $\mathcal{U}$, is bounded.*

Moreover, the conditions (1) and (2) above uniquely determine the morphism $\mathcal{L}^G_V$.

Remark 4.8. Note that this theorem is also true if $L_\infty = L(\mu_{p^\infty})$ for a finite unramified extension L. In this case, the statement reduces to showing that the maps $\mathcal{L}^{G'}_V$ are compatible under the projection maps, which is essentially immediate from the definition.

Proof. Let us show first that the map $\mathcal{L}_V^G$ defined above satisfies (1) and (2). Let T be a choice of lattice in V.

We first suppose that $K_\infty = F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty})$, so the field F must be F_n for some $n \geq 0$. By construction, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1} \arrow[r, "1-\varphi"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty \arrow[r, "i_\infty"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{N}_n(T)^{\psi=1} \arrow[r, "1-\varphi"] & \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_n \arrow[r, "i_n"] & \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{F}_\infty[U/U_n]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the arrows i_∞ and i_n are induced by the inclusions $S_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U)$ and $S_n \hookrightarrow F_n[U/U_n] \hookrightarrow \widehat{F}_\infty[U/U_n]$. If we identify $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(G')$ with $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{F}_\infty[U/U_n]$, then the rightmost vertical arrow is the one arising from the projection $G \to G'$. The composite of the maps on the top row is the definition of $\mathcal{L}_V^G$, and the composite of the arrows on the bottom row is clearly the map $\mathcal{L}_V^{G'}$. This proves (1) if $K_\infty = F_\infty(\mu_{p^\infty})$, and the general case clearly follows by applying this to the representation $V' = \mathrm{Ind}_L^{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ as above.

Property (2) follows readily from Proposition 3.16, since $\mathcal{L}_V^G(x)$ is in the image of the completed tensor product $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S_\infty$, and the map $S_\infty \to \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U)$ factors through $\Lambda_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U)$.

It is clear that these two properties characterise $\mathcal{L}_V^G$ uniquely. It suffices to show that (1) and (2) determine the value of $\mathcal{L}_V^G(x)$ at any character of G. Such a character has the form $\varepsilon\varpi$ where ε is a character of Γ and ϖ is a character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Property (1) uniquely determines the value at $\varepsilon \times \varpi$ if ϖ has finite order, and property (2) implies that for each fixed ε, the function $\varpi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_V^G(x)(\varepsilon \times \varpi)$ is a bounded analytic function on the rigid space parametrising characters of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and hence is determined uniquely by its values on finite-order ϖ's.

□

We now record some properties of the map $\mathcal{L}_V^G$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ be a φ-invariant subspace such that all eigenvalues of φ on the quotient $Q = \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)/W$ have p-adic valuation $\geq -h$ (where we normalise the p-adic valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $v_p(p) = 1$).*

Then for any $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, V)$, the image of x under

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_V^G} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \to \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Q$$

lies in $D^{(0,h)}(G, \widehat{L}_\infty) \otimes Q$, where $D^{(0,h)}(G, \widehat{L}_\infty)$ is the space of L_∞-valued distributions of order $(0,h)$ with respect to the subgroups $(\mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$.

Proof. This is immediate from the definition of the 2-variable regulator map and the corresponding statement for the 1-variable regulator, which is well known. □

Remark 4.10. Note that if K_{LT} denotes the field of torsion points of any height 1 Lubin–Tate group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ that becomes isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ over L_∞, then $K_\infty = L_\infty K_{LT}$, so we have a natural isomorphism between $G = \mathcal{U} \times \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{U} \times \Gamma_{LT}$. However, the resulting isomorphism $\mathcal{U} \times \Gamma \cong \mathcal{U} \times \Gamma_{LT}$ does *not* map $\mathcal{U}$ to $\mathcal{U}$, since the first isomorphism identifies $\mathcal{U}$ with $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ and the second identifies it with $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K_{LT})$. Rather, Γ_{LT} is identified with Γ (since both correspond to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/L_\infty)$). Using Proposition C.1 in the appendix, the definition of "order $(0,h)$" with respect to the subgroups $(\mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ in fact depends only on Γ, and thus we would obtain distributions with the same growth properties if we worked with the regulator map attached to an arbitrary height 1 Lubin–Tate group as in [Zha04].

Proposition 4.11. *If $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$ is the arithmetic Frobenius of $L_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the unique lifting of σ to G acting trivially on $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$, then for any $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, V)$ we have*

$$\left[\mathcal{L}_V^G(x)\right]^\sigma = [\tilde{\sigma}] \cdot \mathcal{L}_V^G(x).$$

Proof. Immediate from the definitions. □

Proposition 4.12. *If $m_1, \dots, m_d$ are a $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-basis of $\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=0}$, and $\widehat{\Omega}$ a $\Lambda(\mathcal{U})$-basis of $S_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_L$, then the image of the p-adic regulator is contained in the $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$-span of the vectors*

$$\left(i_\infty(m_j \mathbin{\hat{\otimes}} \widehat{\Omega})\right)_{j=1,\dots,d}.$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.17. □

Proposition 4.13. *The kernel of $\mathcal{L}_V^G$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.*

Proof. By replacing T with $\operatorname{Ind}_L^{\mathbb{Q}_p} T$ as before, we may assume that $L_\infty = F_\infty$. Now by construction, we have $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) = \varprojlim \mathbb{N}_{F_n}(T)$, and the action of φ is compatible with the projection maps $\mathrm{pr}_n : \mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \to \mathbb{N}_{F_n}(T)$. It follows that if $x \in \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)$, then $(\varphi - 1)x = 0$ if and only if $(\varphi - 1)\,\mathrm{pr}_n(x) = 0$ for all n. However,

$$\{y \in \mathbb{N}_{F_n}(T) : (\varphi - 1)y = 0\} \subset \mathbb{D}_{F_n}(T)^{\varphi=1} = T^{H_{F_n}}.$$

As T is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, this finishes the proof. □

The next statement requires some extra notation. Let ϖ be a continuous character $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times$, where E is some finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then there is an obvious isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T(\varpi)) \cong H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T)(\varpi). \tag{5}$$

Moreover, via the isomorphism $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}} \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$, we can regard the space

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)) = \left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}\right)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \varpi^{-1}}$$

as a subspace of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$. Since the natural inclusion $\widehat{L}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ induces an injection

$$(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L_\infty)^{\mathcal{U} = \varpi^{-1}} \hookrightarrow (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \varpi^{-1}}$$

which must be an isomorphism (as the right-hand side must have E-dimension ≤ 1), we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)) = \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L_\infty\right)^{\mathcal{U} = \varpi^{-1}}.$$

In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\widehat{L}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)) \cong E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{L}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).$$

We also have a canonical map

$$\mathrm{Tw}_{\varpi^{-1}} : E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \to E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)$$

which on group elements corresponds to the map $g \mapsto \varpi(g)^{-1} g$. Tensoring with the canonical isomorphism above, we obtain a map (which we also denote by $\mathrm{Tw}_{\varpi^{-1}}$)

$$E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)).$$

Proposition 4.14. *With the identifications described above, the regulator $\mathcal{L}_V^G$ is invariant under twisting: there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_E \otimes H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}_V^G"] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & E \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tw}_{\varpi^{-1}}"'] \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T(\varpi)) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}_{V(\varpi)}^G"] & \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. As usual, we may replace T with $\operatorname{Ind}_L^{\mathbb{Q}_p} T$ and hence reduce to the case where $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

By (5), we have canonical isomorphisms $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \cong \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1}$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T(\varpi)) \cong \mathbb{N}_\infty(T(\varpi))^{\psi=1}$. We can therefore rewrite the above diagram to obtain the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \arrow[r, "1-\varphi"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tw}_{\varpi^{-1}}"'] \\
\mathbb{N}_\infty(T(\varpi))^{\psi=1} \arrow[r, "1-\varphi"] & \mathbb{N}_\infty(T(\varpi))^{\psi=0} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the middle two vertical maps are obtained by restriction from that of Theorem 3.20, taking $\tau = \varpi^{-1}$; as noted above, this isomorphism commutes with φ and ψ.

The commutativity of the middle square is clear. Moreover, the isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{N}(T(\varpi)) \cong \mathbb{N}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty\right)^{U=\varpi^{-1}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty\right)^{U=\varpi^{-1}}$$

are compatible (since the first is given by multiplication in $\mathbb{A}$, the second in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$, and the inclusion of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ factors through the natural maps $\mathbb{A}^+ \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}$). Hence the commutativity of the right square follows, as the twisting maps $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U) \to \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U) \to \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(U)$ are evidently compatible.

It remains to establish the commutativity of the left square. We shall do this by comparing T with its reductions modulo p^k for each k. Let $T' = T(\varpi)$. For ease of notation, we shall assume that $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$; the general argument is essentially identical but messier to write down. We have

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T) = \varprojlim_k H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T/p^k),$$

and similarly for T'; so it suffices to show that for each $k \ge 1$, the images of $x \in \mathbb{N}_\infty(T)^{\psi=1}$ in $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T/p^k)$ and in $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, T'/p^k)$ coincide.

Given k, there exists an $n(k)$ such that $\varpi|_{U_n}$ is trivial modulo p^k for all $n \ge n(k)$. Thus $T/p^k \cong T'/p^k$ as representations of $\mathcal{G}_{F_n}$ for all such n; in particular, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{D}_n(T/p^k) \cong \mathbb{D}_n(T'/p^k).$$

There are obvious maps $\mathbb{N}_\infty(T) \to \mathbb{N}_n(T) \to \mathbb{D}_n(T) \to \mathbb{D}_n(T/p^k)$, and similarly for T'; and one checks that the isomorphism of Theorem 3.20 is compatible with the above canonical isomorphism. Since the Fontaine isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}_n(T/p^k)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T/p^k)$$

is functorial, the images of x in the cohomology groups $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T/p^k)$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_n(\mu_{p^\infty}), T'/p^k)$ are equal. □

4.4. **An explicit formula for the values of the regulator.** In this section, we use the results from the previous section to give a direct interpretation of the value of the regulator map $\mathcal{L}_V^G$ at any de Rham character of U, relating these to the values of the Bloch-Kato exponential maps for V and its twists.

As above, let ϖ be a continuous character of $\mathcal{U}$ with values in $\mathcal{O}_E$ for some finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Combining Proposition 4.14 with the defining property of $\mathcal{L}^G_{V(\varpi)}$ in Theorem 4.7, we have:

Theorem 4.15. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, V) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}_V^G"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Iw}}"] & \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{cris}}"] \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), V(\varpi)) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{L}^{\Gamma}_{V(\varpi)}"] & \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(\varpi)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)^\circ$ denotes the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)$ satisfying the Galois-equivariance property of Proposition 4.11. The map $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{\varpi}$ is the composite of the isomorphism (5) with the corestriction map; the right-hand vertical map is the composite of $\mathrm{Tw}_{\varpi^{-1}}$ with push-forward to Γ. (Hence both vertical maps are $\mathcal{U}$-equivariant, if we let $\mathcal{U}$ act on the bottom row by ϖ^{-1}.)

For completeness, we shall recall the formulae for the values of the cyclotomic regulator map $\mathcal{L}_V^\Gamma$ at locally algebraic characters, in terms of the dual exponential map. Let $d = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ and assume that

$$\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^j} = 0.$$

We first recall some results about the classical p-adic regulator constructed by Perrin-Riou (see [LLZ11, Definition 3.4])

$$\mathcal{L}_V^\Gamma : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}, V) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V).$$

For $n \ge 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\exp^*_{n,V^*(1+j)} : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, V(-j)) \to \mathbb{Q}_{p,n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(-j))$$

be Bloch and Kato's dual exponential map [Kat93] for $V^*(1+j) = V(-j)^*(1)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$.

We may identify $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(-j))$ with $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$, via the map which sends $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ to $t^j v \otimes e_{-j} \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(-j))$; and we may identify $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, V(-j))$ with $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \otimes e_{-j}$. Hence in particular we may project any $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}, V)$ into $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, V(-j)\big)$ for any n and j. We denote the image of x under the latter map by $x_{n,j}$.

For $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, V)$, denote by x_ϖ its image in $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}, V(\varpi))$. We can now apply Proposition B.1 to obtain the following formulae for the values of $\mathcal{L}_V^G$:

Corollary 4.16. *Let $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, V)$. For any integer $j \ge 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}_V^G(x)(\chi^j, \varpi)\\ &= j!(1-p^j\varphi)(1-p^{-1-j}\varphi^{-1})^{-1}\left(\exp^*_{0,V(\varpi^{-1})^*(1+j)}(x_{\varpi,0,j}) \otimes t^{-j}e_j\right),\end{aligned}$$

while for ω a finite-order character of Γ of conductor $p^n > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}_V^G(x)(\chi^j\omega, \varpi)\tau(\omega)\\ &= j!p^{n(1+j)}\varphi^n\left(\sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma/\Gamma_n} \omega(\sigma)^{-1} \exp^*_{n,V(\varpi^{-1})^*(1+j)}\left(x_{\varpi,n,j}\right)^\sigma \otimes t^{-j}e_j\right).\end{aligned}$$

5. THE 2-VARIABLE REGULATOR FOR GLOBAL REPRESENTATIONS

Let K be a number field, p a (rational) prime, and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime of K above p. We choose a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\overline{K}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, and identify $\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$ with the decomposition subgroup of $\mathfrak{P}$.

5.1. Semilocal cohomology groups.

In this section, we will carefully set out some statements about local and global cohomology groups which seem obvious at first sight but are deceptively tricky. Suppose that L is a finite extension of K. Then the set of primes of L above $\mathfrak{p}$ can be identified with the double quotient $\mathcal{G}_L\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$. The prime corresponding to the double coset of $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_K$ is $\mathfrak{q} = L \cap \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$; in particular, $\sigma(\mathfrak{P})$ is a prime of $\overline{K}$ above $\mathfrak{q}$, and we can identify $\mathcal{G}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$ with the decomposition group of $\sigma(\mathfrak{P})$ in $\mathcal{G}_L$, which is $\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}\sigma^{-1}$.

If T is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module with a continuous action of G_K, then for each $\mathfrak{q}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ we may consider T as a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$ as above. The cohomology

$$H^i(L_\mathfrak{q}, T) = H^i(\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}\sigma^{-1}, T)$$

is independent (up to a *canonical* isomorphism) of the choice of σ in its double coset[1].

---

[1] This follows since if $\sigma' = \gamma\sigma\tau$ with $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_L$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$, the action of γ^{-1} on T gives an isomorphism $H^i(\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma'\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma')^{-1}, T) \xrightarrow{\quad} H^i(\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}\sigma^{-1}, T)$; and this isomorphism is independent of the choice of γ, since γ is uniquely determined up to right multiplication by $\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}\sigma^{-1}$, and the action of $\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}\sigma^{-1}$ on $H^i(\mathcal{G}_L \cap \sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}\sigma^{-1}, T)$ is trivial.

We define the *semilocal Galois cohomology* groups

$$\begin{aligned} Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(L,T) &= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\mid\mathfrak{p}} H^i(L_{\mathfrak{q}},T) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_L\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} H^i(\mathcal{G}_L\cap\sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\sigma^{-1},T). \end{aligned}$$

For $\tau\in\mathcal{G}_K$, the action of τ on T intertwines the action of the decomposition group of a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of L (corresponding to a double coset x) with that of the prime $\tau(\mathfrak{q})$ of $\tau(L)$ (corresponding to the double coset of τx in $\tau\mathcal{G}_L\tau^{-1}\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$); and we obtain isomorphisms

$$H^i(L_{\mathfrak{q}},T)\longrightarrow H^i(\tau(L)_{\tau(\mathfrak{q})},T),$$

coinciding with the canonical identifications above if $\tau\in\mathcal{G}_L$, which assemble to a bijection

$$\tau_*: Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(L,T)\longrightarrow Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(\tau(L),T).$$

In particular, if L/K is Galois, we have an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ on $Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(L,T)$. Moreover, there is a canonical restriction (localisation) map $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}: H^i(L,T)\to H^i(L_{\mathfrak{q}},T)$ for each $\mathfrak{q}$, and hence a map $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}}: H^i(L,T)\to Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(L,T)$; and it is easy to see that this map commutes with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$.

Remark 5.1. One can also see this via the description of $Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(L,T)$ using the induction of T from $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ to $\mathcal{G}_K$, as in [Rub00, Appendix B, §5], but this is inconvenient for our purposes since the induced module that is used is not finitely generated.

5.2. **Construction of the regulator map.** Now suppose that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}\cong\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let K_∞/K be an abelian Galois extension containing $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$ with Galois group $G\cong\mathbb{Z}_p^2\times\Delta$, where Δ is finite of order coprime to p.

We define

$$Z^i_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty,T)=\varprojlim Z^i_{\mathfrak{p}}(K',T),$$

where the limit is over finite Galois extensions K'/K contained in K_∞. This is clearly a $\Lambda(G)$-module, being an inverse limit of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)]$-modules.

Suppose K_∞ is such that there are only finitely many primes above $\mathfrak{p}$. The choice of $\mathfrak{P}$ defines one of these primes, namely $\mathfrak{q}=K_\infty\cap\mathfrak{P}$. For $\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_K$, write T^σ for the representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ with the same underlying space as T but with the action of $\mathcal{G}_K$ given by $\rho^\sigma(\tau)=\rho(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1})$, where $\rho:\mathcal{G}_K\to\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is the structure map of the representation T. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z^i_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty,T) &= \varprojlim_L \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_L\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} H^i(\mathcal{G}_L\cap\sigma\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\sigma^{-1},T) \\ &= \varprojlim_L \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_L\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} H^i(\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{G}_L\sigma\cap\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}},T^\sigma) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}},T^\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note that the summands in (6) are not $\Lambda(G)$-modules, but they are $\Lambda(G_{\mathfrak{q}})$-modules, where $G_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the decomposition group of $\mathfrak{q}$ in G.

Let us identify $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with the decomposition group of $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{G}_K$, and suppose that T is good crystalline as a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then the same is true of T^σ for all $\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_K$ (or equivalently, for a set of coset representatives of $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$). For each σ we obtain a filtered φ-module $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^\sigma)$ and a regulator map

$$\mathcal{L}^{G_{\mathfrak{q}}}_{V^\sigma}: H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}},T^\sigma)\longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^\sigma)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

as constructed in Section 4.3. Here, L_∞ is the maximal unramified extension of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contained in $K_{\infty,\mathfrak{P}}$, and $\widehat{L}_\infty$ denotes its p-adic completion.

The action of $\tau\in\mathcal{G}_K$ intertwines the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-actions on V^σ and $V^{\tau\sigma}$, for each σ. Since $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}},-)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(-)$ are functors, we obtain morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{*,\mathrm{Iw}} &: H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}},T^\sigma)\longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}},T^{\tau\sigma}) \\ \tau_{*,\mathrm{cris}} &: \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^\sigma)\longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^{\tau\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

The regulator map is also evidently functorial in V, so $\mathcal{L}^{G_\mathfrak{q}}_{V^{\sigma\tau}} \circ \tau_{*,\mathrm{Iw}} = \tau_{*,\mathrm{cris}} \circ \mathcal{L}^{G_\mathfrak{q}}_{V^{\sigma}}$.

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_K$, denote by $\bar{\sigma}$ its image in G. Then the distributions on G supported on the coset $\bar{\sigma}G_\mathfrak{q}$ are a direct summand of $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)$. We have a map

$$i_\sigma : \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G_\mathfrak{q}) \to \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(\bar{\sigma}G_\mathfrak{q})$$

mapping $v \otimes \mu$ to $(\sigma^{-1})_*(v) \otimes \bar{\sigma}(\mu)$, where $g_* : \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^h) \to \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^{gh})$ is the map induced by $g : V^h \to V^{gh}$. Moreover, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G_\mathfrak{q}) \arrow[r, "i_\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{*,\mathrm{cris}}"'] & \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(\bar{\sigma}G_\mathfrak{q}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V^{\tau\sigma}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G_\mathfrak{q}) \arrow[r, "i_{\tau\sigma}"] & \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(\bar{\sigma}\bar{\tau}G_\mathfrak{q}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the right-hand vertical arrow is induced by translation by τ. Note that any set of coset representatives of $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}$ maps under the quotient map $\mathcal{G}_K \to G$ to a complete set of coset representatives of $G/G_\mathfrak{q}$. Hence we obtain a map

$$\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}} : Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \tag{7}$$

given by $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}\backslash\mathcal{G}_K/\mathcal{G}_{K_\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{L}^{G_\mathfrak{q}}_{V^\sigma}$ which is compatible with the actions of G on its source and target.

Lemma 5.2. *The kernel of $\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.*

Proof. Easy consequence of the construction and Proposition 4.13. □

Remark 5.3. One can check that there is an isomorphism of $\Lambda(G)$-modules

$$Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, T) \cong \Lambda(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G_\mathfrak{q})} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}}, T),$$

and that the extended regulator (7) corresponds to the map obtained from

$$\mathcal{L}^{G_\mathfrak{q}}_V : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{q}}, T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G_\mathfrak{q})$$

by extending scalars to $\Lambda(G)$.

Remark 5.4. One can use the regulator maps to construct Coleman maps and restricted Selmer groups of V over K_∞, in the spirit of the constructions in [LLZ10] for the cyclotomic extension.

6. The module of p-adic L-functions

Throughout this section, let K be a totally complex Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ in which p splits completely, $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_e$. For each of these primes, fix an embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\overline{K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$. Let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ of rank d, and let $V = T[p^{-1}]$.

Assumption 6.1. *For all $1 \le i \le e$, the restriction of V to $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$ is good crystalline.*

Let S be the finite set of primes of K containing all the primes above p, all the archimedean places and all the places whose inertia group acts non-trivially on T. Denote by K^S the maximal extension of K unramified outside S. Let $K_\infty \subset K^S$ be a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$ such that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K) \cong \Delta \times \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ for some finite abelian group Δ of order prime to p.

Assumption 6.2. *There are only finitely many primes in K_∞ dividing (p).*

Example 6.3. *Such extensions occur naturally when K is an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits: for instance, we may take K_∞ to be the maximal abelian extension of K unramified outside the primes above p. We shall study extensions of this type in more detail in §7 below.*

As K_∞ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, the Galois groups $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}_i}/K_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$, $1 \le i \le e$, are conjugate to each other in $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$, as are their inertia subgroups. If $L_{\infty,i}$ denotes the maximal unramified extension of $K_{\mathfrak{p},i}$ in $K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}_i}$, we get canonical identifications of $L_{\infty,i}$ with $L_{\infty,j}$ for all $1 \le i, j \le e$. We can therefore drop the index and denote this unramfied extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by L_∞.

As explained in Section 5.2, for $1 \le i \le e$, we have a regulator map

$$\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}_i} : Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}_i}(K_\infty, T) \to \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}_i}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G).$$

Via the localisation map $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) \to Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}_i}(K_\infty, T)$, it induces a map

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}_i}(V)$$

which we also denote by $\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Let

$$\mathbb{D}_p(V) = \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} V\big)|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\Big) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{e} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}_i}(V).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{L}^G_V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{e} \mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}_i} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_p(V).$$

As $\mathrm{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) = d$ by Theorem A.11, the regulator $\mathcal{L}^G_V$ induces a map[2]

$$\det \mathcal{L}^G_V : \det_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V).$$

Assume that Conjecture $\mathrm{Leop}(K_\infty, V)$ (Conjecture A.13) holds, so $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty/K, V)$ is $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$-torsion. Let $f_2 \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$ be a generator of its characteristic ideal (c.f. [Bou65, §4.4]). Denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)$ the fraction field of $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)$.

Definition 6.4. *Define $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ to be the $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$-submodule of $\mathcal{K}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V)$*

$$\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V) = f_2 \det \mathcal{L}^G_V\big(H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty, T)\big).$$

In the spirit of Perrin-Riou (c.f. [PR03, §3.1]), we can give an explicit description of $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ as follows:

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\mathfrak{c} = \{c_1, \ldots, c_d\} \subset H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$ such that if $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$-submodule of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$ spanned by the elements of $\mathfrak{c}$, then the quotient $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)/\mathcal{C}$ is $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$-torsion. Denote by $f_\mathfrak{c} \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$ the corresponding characteristic element. Then*

$$\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)\, f_2 f_\mathfrak{c}^{-1}\, \mathcal{L}^G_V(c_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_V(c_d).$$

Proof. Clear from the construction. ☐

Note 6.6. *If $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$ is a free $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$-module, then $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)$.*

Remark 6.7. Via the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes \bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\Big(\bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V^*(1)), \mathcal{K}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)\Big),$$

we can consider the $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$-module $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ as a submodule of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\big(\bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V^*(1)), \mathcal{K}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G)\big).$$

The following proposition implies that $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V) \neq 0$:

Proposition 6.8. *Assume that conjecture* $\mathrm{Leop}(K_\infty, V^*(1))$ *holds. Then the kernel of the homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{loc} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{e} Z^1_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}_i}(K_\infty, T)$$

is $\Lambda(G)$-torsion.

---

[2] For the definition of the determinant of a finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-module, see [KM76]; c.f. also [PR94, §3.1.5].

Proof. We adapt the arguments in [PR95, §A.2]. For $0 \le j \le 2$, define the $\Lambda(G)$-modules

$$Z^j_S(K_\infty, T) = \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^j_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_{\infty,v}, T) \quad \text{and} \quad Z^j_p(K_\infty, T) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{e} Z^j_{\mathrm{Iw},\mathfrak{p}_i}(K_\infty, T).$$

Also, define

$$X^i_{\infty,S}(K_\infty, T) = H^i\big(G_S(K_\infty), V^*(1)/T^*(1)\big).$$

Taking the limit over the $K_{m,n}$ of the Poitou-Tate exact sequence gives an exact sequence of $\Lambda(G)$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X^2_{\infty,S}(K_\infty, T)^\vee \arrow[r] & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{l}"] & Z^1_S(K_\infty, T) \\
{} \arrow[r] & X^1_{\infty,S}(K_\infty, T)^\vee \arrow[r] & H^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) \arrow[r] & Z^2_S(K_\infty, T) \\
{} \arrow[r] & X^0_{\infty,S}(K_\infty, T)^\vee \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since we assume conjecture $\mathrm{Leop}(K_\infty, V^*(1))$, it follows from Proposition A.10 that $\mathrm{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} X^2_{\infty,S}(K_\infty, T)^\vee = 0$, so $\ker(\mathfrak{l})$ is $\Lambda(G)$-torsion. As

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} Z^1_S(K_\infty, T) = \mathrm{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} Z^1_p(K_\infty, T)$$

by Proposition A.8, this implies the result. □

Corollary 6.9. *The $\Lambda(G)$-module $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ is non-zero.*

Proof. Consequence of Proposition 6.8 and Lemma 5.2. □

7. Imaginary quadratic fields

7.1. **Setup.** Throughout this section, let K be an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits; write $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$. We now introduce a specific class of extensions K_∞/K for which the hypotheses in Section 6 are satisfied. Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be an integral ideal of K prime to $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$, and let K_∞ be the ray class field $K(\mathfrak{f}p^\infty)$. We assume that $\mathfrak{f}$ is stable under $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, which is equivalent to the assumption that K_∞ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is well known that $K_\infty \supseteq K(\mu_{p^\infty})$, and that the primes $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ are finitely decomposed in K; so $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ is an abelian p-adic Lie group of dimension 2, and the decomposition groups $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $G_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are open subgroups.

Let us write $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K(\mathfrak{f}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^\infty))$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$. Note that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are p-adic Lie groups of rank 1 whose intersection is trivial, and the open subgroup $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K(\mathfrak{f}))$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ of rank d, and let $V = T[p^{-1}]$. As in the previous section, assume that for $\star \in \{\mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}$, the restriction of V to $\mathcal{G}_{K_\star}$ is good crystalline.

Lemma 7.1. *We have a canonical decomposition*

$$\bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V) \cong \bigoplus_{m+n=d} \left(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bigwedge^n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(V) \right).$$

Proof. Clear from the definition. □

To simplify the notation, let $\mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(m,n)} = \bigwedge^m \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bigwedge^n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(V)$.

7.2. **Galois descent of the module of L-functions.**

Definition 7.2. *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m + n = d$, define $\mathbb{I}^{(m,n)}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ to be the image of $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ in $\mathcal{K}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(m,n)}$ induced from the projection map $\bigwedge^d \mathbb{D}_p(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(m,n)}$.*

The following theorem is the main result of this section:

Theorem 7.3. *If $d = 2n$, then $\mathbb{I}^{(n,n)}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$ lies in $\mathcal{K}_L(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(n,n)}$, where L is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

The rest of this section is devoted to proving this theorem. As in Proposition 4.11, let $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the unique element of $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which lifts the Frobenius automorphism at $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K(\mathfrak{f}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^\infty)$ and which is trivial on $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$, and similarly for $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma 7.4. *The element $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \in G$ has finite order.*

Proof. Let us consider the “semilocal Artin map”

$$\theta = (\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}, \theta_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) : K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \to G.$$

Here $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the Artin map for $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, normalised so that uniformisers map to geometric Frobenius elements. The kernel of θ is the image in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times$ of the elements of $K^\times$ which are units outside p and congruent to 1 mod $\mathfrak{f}$.

By the functoriality of the global Artin map (cf. [Neu99, VI.5.2]), there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \arrow[r, "\theta"] \arrow[d, "N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}"'] & G \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Q}_p{}^\times \arrow[r] & \Gamma,
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bottom horizontal map is the local Artin map for $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}$; if we identify Γ with $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, this map is the identity on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and sends p to 1.

Consider the element $(p, 1)$ of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times$. The image of this in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{f}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^\infty)/K)$ is the Frobenius σ_p. Its image in $\mathbb{Q}_p{}^\times$ is p, which is mapped to the identity in Γ. Hence the image of $(p, 1)$ in G is $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Similarly, $(1, p)$ is a lifting of $\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Hence $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is the image of the element (p, p) of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times$. Thus if m is such that $p^m = 1 \bmod \mathfrak{f}$, $(p^m, p^m) \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times$ is in the kernel of θ, and hence $(\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})^m = 1$ in G. □

Corollary 7.5. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Z^1_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, V)$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Z^1_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(K_\infty, V)$. Then the element*

$$\left(\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}}(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}}(x_n)\right) \otimes \left(\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(y_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{V,\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(y_n)\right)$$

in $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{L}_\infty}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(n,n)}$ in fact lies in

$$\mathcal{H}_L(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(n,n)}$$

where L is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Proof. Clear, since the Frobenius automorphism of $\widehat{L}_\infty$ acts on this element as multiplication by $[\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{p}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}]^n$, which we have seen has finite order. □

Remark 7.6. As is clear from the proof of the lemma and its corollary, the degree of $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is bounded by the exponent of the ray class group of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$, and in particular is independent of V.

We deduce Theorem 7.3 by combining Corollary 7.5 with Proposition 6.5.

7.3. Orders of distributions.

Let us choose subspaces $W_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \bigwedge^m \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V)$ and $W_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \subseteq \bigwedge^n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(V)$. Then the space

$$Q = \left(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V)/W_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \left(\bigwedge^n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(V)/W_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)$$

is a quotient of $\mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(m,n)}$ and hence of $\mathbb{D}_p(V)$. So, for any $c_1, \dots, c_d \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$, we may consider the projection of

$$\mathcal{L}^G_V(c_1, \dots, c_d) = \mathcal{L}^G_V(c_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_V(c_d)$$

to Q.

Theorem 7.7. *The distribution $\mathrm{pr}_Q\left(\mathcal{L}^G_V(c_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_V(c_d)\right)$ is a distribution on G of order $(mh_{\mathfrak{p}}, nh_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$ with respect to the subgroups $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$. where $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the largest valuation of any eigenvalue of φ on $\wedge^m \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V)/W_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and similarly for $h_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$.*

Proof. Let us write $c_{j,\mathfrak{p}}$ for the localisation of c_j at $\mathfrak{p}$, and similarly for $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Proposition 4.9, for each subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ of order m, the projection of the element

$$\mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}}(c_{j_1,\mathfrak{p}}) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{V,\mathfrak{p}}(c_{j_m,\mathfrak{p}})$$

to $\bigwedge^m \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}/W_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a distribution of order $(h_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0)$ with respect to the subgroups $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K(\mu_{p^\infty}))$. By the change-of-variable result of Proposition C.1 in the appendix, it is also a distribution of order $(h_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0)$ with respect to the subgroups $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$. (Indeed, although we do not need this here, the localisation of $K(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ at a prime above $\mathfrak{p}$ is well known to be the field of division points of a height 1 Lubin-Tate group, so this is an instance of the remark following Proposition 4.9.)

We have also a corresponding result for the projection to $\bigwedge^n \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}/W_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of the distribution obtained from any n-element subset of $\{1, \dots, d\}$: this gives a distribution with order $(0, h_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$ with respect to $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$. Since the product of distributions of order $(a, 0)$ and $(0, b)$ is a distribution of order $(0, b)$, the product of any two such subsets gives a distribution with values in Q of order $(h_{\mathfrak{p}}, h_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$. Since $\mathrm{pr}_Q\left(\mathcal{L}_V^G(c_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{L}_V^G(c_d)\right)$ is a finite linear combination of products of this form, the theorem follows. $\square$

7.4. **Example 1: Groessencharacters and Katz's L-function.**

7.4.1. *Kummer maps.* We recall the well-known local theory of exponential maps for the representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$. For any finite extension $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$, there is a Kummer map $\kappa_L : \mathcal{O}_L^\times \to H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$, whose kernel is the Teichmüller lifting of $k_L^\times$. In particular, the restriction to the kernel $U^1(L)$ of reduction modulo the maximal ideal is an injection.

Moreover, after inverting p, we have a commutative diagram relating the Kummer map to the exponential map of Bloch–Kato (see [BK90]):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} U^1(L) \arrow[r, "\kappa_L"] \arrow[d] & H^1(L, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{(L)}(\mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \arrow[r, "\exp_{L,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)}"] & H^1(L, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical map sends[3] u to $t^{-1}\log(u) \otimes e_1$, where e_1 is the basis vector of $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$ corresponding to our compatible system of roots of unity.

The maps κ_L are compatible with the norm and corestriction maps for finite extensions L'/L, so for an infinite algebraic extension $L_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p$ we can take the inverse limit over the finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in L_∞ to define

$$\kappa_{L_\infty} : U^1(L_\infty) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(L_\infty, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)),$$

where $U^1(L_\infty) := \varprojlim_{L' \subset L_\infty} U^1(L')$.

7.4.2. *Coleman series.* We recall the following basic result, due to Coleman. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any height 1 Lubin–Tate group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and F an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Fix a generator $v = (v_n)$ of the Tate module of $\mathcal{F}$ (that is, a norm-compatible sequence of p^n-torsion points of $\mathcal{F}$).

Theorem 7.8. [Col79] *Let F be a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then for each $\beta = (\beta_n) \in U^1(F(\mathcal{F}_{p^\infty}))$, there is a unique power series*

$$g_{F,\mathcal{F}}(\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_F[[X]]^{\times, N_{\mathcal{F}}=1}$$

where $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is Coleman's norm operator, such that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\beta_n = [g_{F,\mathcal{F}}(\beta)]^{\sigma^{-n}}(v_n).$$

Here σ is the arithmetic Frobenius automorphism of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$, which we extend to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_F[[X]]$ acting trivially on the variable X.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is the formal multiplicative group $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$, then we shall drop the suffix $\mathcal{F}$; and we take $v_n = \zeta_n - 1$, where (ζ_n) is our chosen compatible sequence of p-power roots of unity. In this case, if we identify X

---

[3] In the definition of this map, it's important to note that the definition of $t \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ depends on a choice of p-power compatible roots of unity, as does the choice of a basis vector e_1 of $\mathbb{Q}_p(1) = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \varprojlim_n \mu_{p^n}$; but changing the roots of unity by $\gamma \in \Gamma$ multiplies e_1 by $\chi(\gamma)$ and t^{-1} by $\chi(\gamma)^{-1}$, so the map is independent of the choice.

with the variable π in Fontaine's rings, the relation between the map g_F and the Perrin-Riou regulator map is given by the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^1(F(\mu_{p^\infty})) \arrow[r, "\kappa_{F(\mu_{p^\infty})}"] \arrow[d, "g_F"'] & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \arrow[dd, "\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_{F,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)}"'] \\
\mathcal{O}_F[[\pi]]^{\times, N=1} \arrow[d, "(1-\frac{\varphi}{p})\log"'] & \\
\mathcal{O}_F[[\pi]]^{\psi=0} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}^{(F)}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(1))
\end{tikzcd}$$

If we identify $\mathbb{D}^{(F)}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(1))$ with F via the basis vector $t^{-1} \otimes e_1$, then the bottom map sends $f \in \mathcal{O}_F[[\pi]]^{\psi=0}$ to $\ell_0 \cdot \mathfrak{M}^{-1}(f)$, where $\ell_0 = \frac{\log \gamma_1}{\log \chi(\gamma_1)}$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ is the Mellin transform as defined in Section 2.3. (See e.g. the proof of Proposition 1.5 of [LLZ11].) Thus the image of the bottom map is precisely $\ell_0 \cdot \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_F(\Gamma)$; and if we define

$$h_F(\beta) = \ell_0^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{L}^\Gamma_{F,\mathbb{Q}_p(1)}(\kappa_{F_\infty}(\beta)) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma),$$

then we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(h_F(\beta)) = (1 - \tfrac{\varphi}{p}) \log g_F(\beta).$$

7.4.3. *Two-variable Coleman series.* Now let $K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be an abelian p-adic Lie extension containing $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$ such that $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a p-adic Lie group of dimension 2. Let $\widehat{F}_\infty$ be the completion of the maximal unramifed subextension of K_∞. We define

$$h_\infty : U^1(K_\infty) \to \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(G)$$

to be the unique map such that the composite

$$U^1(K_\infty) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{K_\infty}} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(1)}} \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F}_\infty}(G)$$

is equal to $\ell_0 \cdot h_\infty$.

Proposition 7.9. *The element $h_\infty(\beta)$ is uniquely determined by the relation*

$$h_\infty(\beta) = \sum_{\sigma \in U_F} h_F(\beta_F)^\sigma [\sigma] \pmod{I_F}$$

for all unramified subextensions $F \subset K_\infty$, where $U_F = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, I_F is the kernel of the natural map $\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(G) \to \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(U_F \times \Gamma)$, and β_F denotes the image of β in $U^1(F(\mu_{p^\infty}))$.

Proof. This follows from the compatibility of the maps $\mathcal{L}^\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{L}^G$ (Theorem 4.7(i)). □

We would like to compare this result to Theorem 5 of [Yag82]. Our method differs from that of Yager, as we build measures on G out of measures on the Galois groups of extensions $F(\mu_{p^\infty})/F$ for unramified extensions $F \subset K_\infty$, while Yager considers instead the extensions $F(\mathcal{F}_{p^\infty})/F$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Lubin–Tate group corresponding to an elliptic curve with CM by $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any Lubin–Tate formal group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which becomes isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ over $\widehat{F}_\infty$. If F is any finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in K_∞, then $F(\mathcal{F}_{p^\infty}) \subseteq K_\infty$. For any $\beta \in U^1(K_\infty)$, let $\beta_{F,\mathcal{F}}$ be its image in $U^1(F(\mathcal{F}_{p^\infty}))$. Then Coleman's theorem (Theorem 7.8) gives us an element

$$g_{F,\mathcal{F}}(\beta_{F,\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{O}_F[[X]]^{\times, N_{\mathcal{F}}=1}.$$

We write

$$h_{F,\mathcal{F}}(\beta_{F,\mathcal{F}}) = \mathfrak{M}^{-1}\left[\left(1 - \tfrac{\varphi}{p}\right) \log\left(g_{F,\mathcal{F}}(\beta) \circ \theta\right)\right]$$

where θ is the unique power series in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty[[X]]$ giving an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ such that v_n maps to $\zeta_n - 1$.

Theorem 7.10 (de Shalit)**.** *We have*

$$h_\infty(\beta) = \sum_{\sigma \in U_F} h_{F,\mathcal{F}}(\beta_{F,\mathcal{F}})^\sigma [\sigma] \pmod{I_{F,\mathcal{F}}},$$

where $I_{F,\mathcal{F}}$ is the kernel of the natural map

$$\Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(G) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(F(\mathcal{F}_{p^\infty})/F)).$$

Proof. See [dS87, §I.3.8]. (Note that the theorem is stated there for $K_\infty = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ab}}$, the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$; but the theorem, and the proof given, are true with K_∞ replaced by any smaller extension over which the formal groups concerned become isomorphic.) □

It follows that the map h_∞ defined above coincides with the map constructed (under more restrictive hypotheses) by Yager in [Yag82]. In particular, if c denotes the element of the global H^1_{Iw} obtained by applying the Kummer map to the elliptic units, then $\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V}(c)$ is equal to $\ell_0\mu$ where μ is Katz's p-adic L-function.

7.5. **Example 2: Two-variable L-functions of modular forms.** We now consider the restriction to $\mathcal{G}_K$ of the representation V of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ attached to a modular form f of weight 2, level N prime to $p\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, and character δ. Let $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(f) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ at our chosen prime of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. We take $V = V_f^*$, so V has Hodge–Tate weights $\{0, 1\}$ at each of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ be the roots of $X^2 - a_p X + p\delta(p)$, so the eigenvalues of φ on either $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V)$ or $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(V)$ are α^{-1} and β^{-1}.

Definition 7.11. *A p-refinement of f is a pair $u = (u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) \subseteq \{\alpha, \beta\}^{\times 2}$. We say that u is* non-critical *if $v_p(u_{\mathfrak{p}}), v_p(u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) < 1$; otherwise u is* critical.

Let K_∞ be an extension of K with Galois group G, satisfying the hypotheses specified in Section 7.1. For a finite-order character ϖ of G, let $L_{\{\mathfrak{p},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}}(f/K, \varpi^{-1}, s)$ denote the twisted L-function with the factors at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ removed. For λ a finite place of K, let

$$P_\lambda(\varpi, X) = 1 - X\varpi(\lambda)$$

be the local L-factor of ϖ at λ (where, as usual, we interpret $\varpi(\lambda)$ as 0 if ϖ is ramified at λ).

Conjecture 7.12 (Existence of L-functions)**.** *Let $(u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$ be a p-refinement which is non-critical. Then there exists a distribution $\mu_f(u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$ on G, of order $(v_p(u_{\mathfrak{p}}), v_p(u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}))$ with respect to the subgroups $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Gamma_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$, such that for all finite-order characters ϖ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G \varpi \, \mathrm{d}\mu_f(u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) = \\ &\left(\prod_{q \in \{\mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}} u_q^{-c_q(\varpi)} e_q(\varpi) \frac{P_q(\varpi, u_q^{-1})}{P_q(\varpi^{-1}, p^{-1}u_q)}\right) \frac{L_{\{\mathfrak{p},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}}(f/K, \varpi^{-1}, 1)}{\Omega_f^+ \Omega_f^-}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Remark 7.13. The definition of the order of a distribution on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is given in Section C.2. The hypothesis that the p-refinement be non-critical implies that the distribution $\mu_f(u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$ is unique if it exists, since a distribution of order (r, s) with $r, s < 1$ is uniquely determined by its values at finite-order characters.

Two approaches are known to the construction of such L-functions: either via p-adic interpolation of Rankin–Selberg convolutions, as in [Hid85, PR88, Kim10], or via the combinatorics of modular symbols on symmetric spaces attached to $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$, as in [Har87]. The details have not been written down in the full generality described above (although M. Emerton and B. Zhang have announced results of this kind in a paper which is currently in preparation). The literature to date contains constructions of $\mu_f(u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$ in the following cases:

- if f is ordinary, $\delta = 1$, and u is the "ordinary refinement" (α, α) where α is the unit root [Har87]
- if f is ordinary, u is the ordinary refinement, and G decomposes as a direct product of eigenspaces for complex conjugation [PR88]
- if f is non-ordinary, $u_{\mathfrak{p}} = u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$, $[K(\mathfrak{f}p) : K]$ is prime to p, $\delta^2 = 1$, and we consider only the restriction of the distribution to the set of characters whose restriction to $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{f}p)/K)$ does not factor through a Dirichlet character via the norm map [Kim10].

Remark 7.14. (i) We have chosen to write the interpolating formula (8) in a way that emphasises the similarity with that of [CFK+05]. The cited references use a range of different formulations, and the distributions they construct differ from ours by various correction factors; but in each case the *existence* of a measure satisfying their conditions is equivalent to the conjecture above.

(ii) If f is ordinary and u is the ordinary refinement, the condition that $\mu_f(u)$ has order $(0,0)$ is simply that it be a measure. In the non-ordinary case considered by Kim, the condition that $\mu_f(u)$ has order $(v_p(u_{\mathfrak{p}}), v_p(u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}))$ is more delicate, and depends crucially on the decomposition of the Lie algebra of G as the direct sum of the Lie algebras of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions contained in $K(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ and in $K(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^\infty)$.

We now give a conjectural interpretation of these p-adic L-functions in terms of our regulator map $\mathcal{L}_V^G$.

Conjecture 7.15. *Choose a basis v of $\operatorname{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathfrak{p}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \operatorname{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(1,1)}$. Then there is a distinguished element $\mathfrak{c} \in \bigwedge^2 H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V_f)$ such that for all finite-order characters ϖ, we have*

$$\left(\exp^*_{K_{\mathfrak{p}},V_f(1)(\varpi^{-1})} \wedge \exp^*_{K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}},V_f(1)(\varpi^{-1})}\right)(\mathfrak{c}_\varpi) = \frac{L(f/K,\varpi^{-1},1)}{\Omega_f^+\Omega_f^-} v.$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{c}$ is a $\Lambda(G)$-basis of $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{arith},p}(V)$.

We choose a basis v_α, v_β of φ-eigenvectors in $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$, and for a p-refinement $u = (u_{\mathfrak{p}}, u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$, we let $v_u = v_{u_{\mathfrak{p}}} \wedge v_{u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}} \subset \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(1,1)}$. We may normalise such that $v_\alpha + v_\beta$ is a basis of $\operatorname{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$; we take $v \otimes v$ as our basis of $\operatorname{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_p(V)$.

Proposition 7.16. *Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \bigwedge^2 H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, V)$. Then for each p-refinement u (critical or otherwise), the projection of $(\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V} \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}},V})(\mathfrak{c})$ to the subspace $E \cdot v_u \subseteq \mathbb{D}_p(V)^{(1,1)}$ is a distribution of order $(v_p(u_{\mathfrak{p}}), v_p(u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}))$. If $\mathfrak{c}$ satisfies the condition of Conjecture 7.15, then the projection of $(\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V} \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}},V})(\mathfrak{c})$ satisfies the interpolating property (8).*

Proof. The values of $(\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V} \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}},V})(\mathfrak{c})$ at ϖ can be expressed in terms of those of the dual exponential map using Proposition 4.16, which clearly gives the formula of (8). The statement on the order of the distribution $(\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V} \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}},V})(\mathfrak{c})$ is clear, since it is a linear combination of products of distributions of orders $(v_p(u_{\mathfrak{p}}), 0)$ and $(0, v_p(u_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}))$. ☐

In particular, when the refinement u is non-critical, we conclude that Conjecture 7.15 implies Conjecture 7.12 and the projection of $(\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathfrak{p},V} \wedge \mathcal{L}^G_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}},V})(\mathfrak{c})$ must be equal to the uniquely determined distribution $\mu_f(u)$.

Appendix A. Local and global Iwasawa cohomology

In this section, we prove some results on the structure of inverse limits of cohomology groups for p-adic representations in towers of representations of local and global fields, generalising well-known results for cyclotomic towers.

A.1. **Conventions.** We shall work with extensions of (local or global) fields whose Galois group is of the form $G = \Delta \times \mathbb{Z}_p^e$, where $e \geq 1$ and Δ is a finite group of order prime to p. Since G has no elements of order p, the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(G)$ is reduced. It is not, however, an integral domain; it is isomorphic to the direct product of the subrings $e_\eta \Lambda(G)$, where η ranges over the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p$-conjugacy classes of characters of Δ. For each such η, $e_\eta \Lambda(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\eta \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(H)$, where $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ is the ring of integers of the finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ generated by the values of η.

In order to greatly simplify the presentation of our results, we shall adopt a minor abuse of notation.

Definition A.1. *We shall say that a $\Lambda(G)$-module is* torsion *if and only if $M_\eta := e_\eta M$ is torsion for each η. More generally, we shall say that $\operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} M = r$ if M_η has rank r over $e_\eta \Lambda(G)$ for all η.*

When using this notation it is important to bear in mind that when Δ is not trivial, most finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$ modules will not have a rank.

Note A.2. *If M is a finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-module, then M is torsion if and only if it has rank zero.*

We say that a $\Lambda(G)$-module M is cofinitely generated if its Pontryagin dual $M^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finitely generated, and we define $\operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)}(M)$ to be $\operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} M^\vee$ when this is defined.

In both the local and global cases, we will use the following result:

Theorem A.3 ([How02, Theorem 1.1])**.** *If G is any pro-p, torsion-free p-adic Lie group, and M is a cofinitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-module, then the cohomology groups $H^i(G, M)$ are cofinitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, zero if $i > \dim G$, and we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\dim G} (-1)^i \operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^i(G, M) = \operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)} M.$$

A.2. **Local results.** Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$. Let V a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of dimension d, and choose a Galois invariant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice T. We have the following result due to Tate:

Theorem A.4 (Tate's local Euler characteristic formula)**.** *The cohomology groups $H^i(F, V/T)$ are zero for $i > 2$ and cofinitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules for $0 \le i \le 2$, and we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^i \operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^i(F, V/T) = \begin{cases} -[F:\mathbb{Q}_p]d & \text{if } l = p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For the finite generation, see [Rub00, Appendix B, Proposition 2.7]. The Euler characteristic formula follows immediately from this and the corresponding result for finite modules, for which see [NSW00, §VII.3]. □

A.2.1. *The case $l = p$.* We now let F_∞/F be a Galois extension, whose Galois group G is of the type described above.

Proposition A.5. *The cohomology groups $H^i(F_\infty, V/T)$ are zero for $i > 1$. For $i = 0, 1$ they are cofinitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-modules, with well-defined $\Lambda(G)$-coranks given by*

$$\operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^i(F_\infty, V/T) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ [F:\mathbb{Q}_p]d & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since G contains an infinite pro-p subgroup, $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F_\infty)$ has p-cohomological dimension 1, by [NSW00, §VII 7.1.8(i)]. Moreover, $H^0(F_\infty, V/T)$ is a submodule of V/T, and hence has finite $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank; it is therefore clearly $\Lambda(G)$-torsion.

Let η be a character of Δ, and let $L_\infty = F_\infty^\Delta$, so $\operatorname{Gal}(L_\infty/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^e$. We then have

$$e_\eta \cdot H^i(F_\infty, V/T)^\vee = H^i(L_\infty, V/T(\eta))^\vee(\eta),$$

and hence it suffices to prove that $H^i(F_\infty, V/T)$ is cofinitely generated, with the stated corank, under the assumption that Δ is trivial.

The cofinite generation follows readily from the inflation-restriction exact sequence: we have an exact sequence

$$H^1(F, V/T) \to H^0(G, H^1(F_\infty, V/T)) \to H^2(G, H^0(F_\infty, V/T)),$$

and since the first and last terms are cofinitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, the second term must also be so. By Nakayama's lemma, we conclude that $H^1(F_\infty, V/T)$ is a cofinitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-module.

To calculate the corank of $H^1(F_\infty, V/T)$, we note that by the Hochschild-Serre exact sequence, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} \operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^i(F, V/T) = \sum_{r=0}^{\dim G} \sum_{s=0}^{1} (-1)^{r+s} \operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^r(G, H^s(F_\infty, V/T)).$$

The left-hand side is equal to $-[F:\mathbb{Q}_p]d$, by Tate's formula; while Howson's theorem (Theorem A.3 above) shows that the right-hand side is equal to

$$\sum_s (-1)^s \operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^s(F_\infty, V/T) = -\operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^1(F_\infty, V/T),$$

since we have seen that the corank of the $s = 0$ term is zero. □

We now pass from the cofinitely generated case to the finitely generated case. We define

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty, T) := \varprojlim_L H^i(L, T)$$

where the inverse limit is over finite extensions L/F contained in F_∞.

Proposition A.6. *The modules $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty, T)$ are zero for $i = 0$ and for $i > 2$. For $i = 1, 2$ they are finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-modules, with well-defined ranks given by*

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty, T) = \begin{cases} [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]d & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. These results follow from the previous proposition by Tate local duality: we have isomorphisms

$$H^i(L, T)^\vee = H^{2-i}(L, V^*(1)/T^*(1))$$

for each finite extension L of F, and the transpose of the corestriction map is the restriction map. Moreover, we have

$$\varinjlim_{L \subset F_\infty} H^j(L, V^*(1)/T^*(1)) = H^j(F_\infty, V^*(1)/T^*(1)),$$

from which it follows that

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty, T) = H^{2-i}(F_\infty, V^*(1)/T^*(1))^\vee.$$

□

A.2.2. *The case $l \neq p$.* Let F_∞/F be a Galois extension, whose Galois group G is of the type described above. The following two results can be proven analogously to Propositions A.5 and A.6 above.

Proposition A.7. *The cohomology groups $H^i(F_\infty, V/T)$ are zero for $i > 1$. For $i = 0, 1$ they are cofinitely generated torsion $\Lambda(G)$-modules.*

Proposition A.8. *The modules $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\infty, T)$ are zero for $i = 0$ and for $i > 2$. For $i = 1, 2$ they are finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(G)$-modules.*

A.3. **Global results.** We now let K be a number field, which (for simplicity) we shall suppose to be totally complex. Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ of dimension d, and choose a G_K-invariant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice T. Let S be a finite set of places of K containing all the primes above p, all infinite places and all the places whose inertia group acts non-trivially on V, and let K_S be the maximal extension of K unramified outside S.

Theorem A.9 (Tate's global Euler characteristic formula)**.** *If M is a cofinitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module with a continuous action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_S/K)$, then the cohomology groups $H^i(G_S(K), M)$ are cofinitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules and we have*

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \operatorname{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^i(K_S/K, M) = -\tfrac{1}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}]d.$$

Proof. For the cofinite generation see [Rub00, Appendix B, Proposition 2.7(i)]; the Euler characteristic formula is an immediate consequence of this and Tate's global Euler characteristic formula for finite modules, for which see [NSW00, 8.6.14]. □

We now consider a Galois extension K_∞/K, contained in K_S, whose Galois group G is of the form $\Delta \times \mathbb{Z}_p^e$, where $e \geq 1$ and Δ is abelian of order prime to p as above. For $i \geq 0$, we define

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) = \varprojlim_L H^i(K_S/L, T)$$

where the limit is taken over number fields L satisfying $K \subseteq L \subset K_\infty$, with respect to the corestriction maps. We also define

$$X^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) = H^i(K_S/K_\infty, V^*(1)/T^*(1))^\vee.$$

Since we are assuming throughout that $p \neq 2$, the groups $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ and $X^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ are zero for $i \geq 3$. Moreover, $H^0_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ is clearly also zero (c.f. [Rub00, Appendix B, Lemma 3.2]).

Proposition A.10. *For $0 \le i \le 2$, the groups $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ and $X^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ are finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-modules, and for each η we have*

$$\operatorname{rank}_{e_\eta\Lambda(G)} H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)_\eta = \operatorname{rank}_{e_\eta\Lambda(G)} X^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T^*(1))_\eta.$$

Proof. This follows from [OV03, Theorem 3.3] if Δ is trivial, so we shall show that the general case may be reduced to this. As in the local case, we see that if $G = \Delta \times H$ where $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^e$, then for any character $\eta : \Delta \to \mathcal{O}^\times$, we have

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) = e_\eta\Lambda(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(H)} H^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(L_\infty, T(\eta^{-1}))$$

where $L_\infty = K_\infty^\Delta$, and similarly

$$X^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T) = e_\eta\Lambda(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(H)} X^i_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(L_\infty, T(\eta^{-1})).$$

Thus the result follows by applying the cited theorem of Ochi and Venjakob to each of the representations $T(\eta^{-1})$ over the extension L_∞/K. □

Theorem A.11. *For each η, we have*

$$\operatorname{rank}_{e_\eta\Lambda(G)} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)_\eta - \operatorname{rank}_{e_\eta\Lambda(G)} H^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)_\eta = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V.$$

Proof. By the same argument as in proposition A.10, we may assume that Δ is trivial; and using the result of that proposition, it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^1(G_S(K_\infty), V/T) - \operatorname{corank}_{\Lambda(G)} H^2(G_S(K_\infty), V/T) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V.$$

This follows from Howson's theorem and the global Euler characteristic formula, in exactly the same way that Proposition A.5 follows from the local Euler characteristic formula. □

Remark A.12. Note that this result is slightly stronger than [OV03, Theorem 3.2]: we do not need to assume that the image of $G_S(K)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is pro-p.

We shall assume throughout the following conjecture, which corresponds to the "conjecture de Leopoldt faible" of [PR95, §1.3]:

Conjecture A.13. *[Conjecture* $\mathrm{Leop}(K_\infty, V)$*] The module $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ is $\Lambda(G)$-torsion.*

Note that this is independent of the choice of lattice $T \subseteq V$.

Corollary A.14. *If Conjecture* $\mathrm{Leop}(K_\infty, V)$ *holds, then $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ has well-defined $\Lambda(G)$-rank, equal to $\frac{1}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}]d$, where $d = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T$.*

Remark A.15. If K is not totally complex, then Tate's Euler characteristic formula gives an expression for the cohomological Euler characteristic in terms of the dimension of the plus and minus eigenspaces of complex conjugation at each real place. However, the dimensions of these eigenspaces are not necessarily the same for $V(\eta)$ as for V, for each character η of Δ; hence even if $\mathrm{Leop}(K_\infty, V)$ holds, the module $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw},S}(K_\infty, T)$ could fail to have well-defined $\Lambda(G)$-rank.

Appendix B. An explicit reciprocity law for Perrin-Riou's p-adic regulator

In this section, we give the proof of Proposition 4.16. Let V be a good crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and assume that V has no quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Proposition B.1. *For any integer $j \ge 0$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_V^\Gamma(x)(\chi^j) = j!(1 - p^j\varphi)(1 - p^{-1-j}\varphi^{-1})^{-1}\left(\exp^*_{0,V^*(1+j)}(x_{0,j}) \otimes t^{-j}e_j\right),$$

while for ω a finite-order character of Γ of conductor $p^n > 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_V^\Gamma(x)(\chi^j\omega)\tau(\omega) = j!p^{n(1+j)}\varphi^n\left(\sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma/\Gamma_n} \omega(\sigma)^{-1} \exp^*_{n,V^*(1+j)}(x_{n,j})^\sigma \otimes t^{-j}e_j\right).$$

Proof. Fix $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}, V)$. Then via Berger's comparison isomorphism (c.f. [Ber03])

$$\mathbb{N}(V) \subset \mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$$

we may consider x as an element of $\left(\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)^{\psi=1}$; let us write

$$x = \sum_{m \geq 0} \pi^m v_m$$

for some $v_m \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$. Then $x \otimes e_{-j}$ is an element of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}, V(-j))$, and we write $x_{n,j}$ for its image in $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, V(-j)\big)$. We have

$$x \otimes e_{-j} = t^{-j} \sum_{m \geq 0} \pi^m v_{m,j},$$

where $v_{m,j} = t^j v_m \otimes e_{-j} \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(-j))$.

Using [Ber03, Theorem II.6], we have for any $n \geq 1$

$$\exp^*_{n,V^*(1+j)}(x_{n,j}) = p^{-n} \partial_{V(-j)}\left(\varphi^{-n}(x \otimes e_{-j})\right).$$

By the first displayed formula of Lemma II.1 of *op.cit.*, if we let $\mathrm{D}_j : \mathbb{Q}_{p,n}[[t]] \to \mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$ be the map sending $\sum a_n t^n$ to a_j, this is

$$p^{-n}\,\mathrm{D}_j\left(p^{nj} \sum_{m \geq 0} \varphi^{-n}(v_{m,j}) \left(\zeta_{p^n} e^{t/p^n} - 1\right)^m\right)$$

or, identifying $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V(-j))$ with $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ (which maps $p^{nj}\varphi^{-n}(v_{m,j})$ to $\varphi^{-n}(v_m)$),

$$\exp^*_{n,V^*(1+j)}(x_{n,j}) = p^{-n} \sum_{m \geq 0} \varphi^{-n}(v_m)\,\mathrm{D}_j\left(\zeta_{p^n} e^{t/p^n} - 1\right)^m. \tag{9}$$

Meanwhile, for $n = 0$ the formula of [Ber03, Theorem II.6] becomes

$$\exp^*_{0,V^*(1+j)}(x_{0,j}) = (1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\partial_{V(-j)}(x \otimes e_{-j}),$$

and we deduce by exactly the same argument that

$$\exp^*_{0,V^*(1+j)}(x_{0,j}) = p^{-n} \sum_{m \geq 0} (1 - p^{-1-j}\varphi^{-1})(v_m)\,\mathrm{D}_j\left(e^t - 1\right)^m. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, $(1-\varphi)(x) \in \left(\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},F} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris},F}(V)\right)^{\psi=0}$, and we may write this as

$$(1-\varphi)(x) = \sum_{m \geq 0} (\pi^m v_m - \varphi(\pi)^m \varphi(v_m)).$$

By definition, $\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_V(x)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_V(x) \cdot (1 + \pi) = (1 - \varphi)(x).$$

We now remark that the map $\mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{Q}_{p,n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(j)$ defined by $f \mapsto \mathrm{D}_j(f(\zeta_{p^n} e^{t/p^n} - 1)) \otimes e_j$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$-modules, which maps $1 + \pi$ to $\frac{\zeta_{p^n}}{p^{nj} j!} \otimes e_j$. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_V(x) \cdot \left(\frac{\zeta_{p^n}}{p^{nj} j!} \otimes e_j\right) = \mathrm{D}_j\left(\sum_{m \geq 0} (\zeta_{p^n} e^{t/p^n} - 1)^m v_m - (\zeta_{p^{n-1}} e^{t/p^{n-1}} - 1)^m \varphi(v_m)\right) \otimes e_j.$$

(When $n = 0$, this holds with the convention that $\zeta_{-1} = \zeta_0^p = 1$.) Twisting back into $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$, we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{Tw}_j\,\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_V(x)) \cdot \zeta_{p^n} \\ &= p^{nj} j! \left(\sum_{m \geq 0} v_m\,\mathrm{D}_j(\zeta_{p^n} e^{t/p^n} - 1)^m - \sum_{m \geq 0} \varphi(v_m)\,\mathrm{D}_j(\zeta_{p^{n-1}} e^{t/p^{n-1}} - 1)^m\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Let us apply to both sides of (11) the idempotent $\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_n} \omega(\sigma)^{-1}[\sigma]$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\omega) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma/\Gamma_n]$. The left-hand side maps to $\tau(\omega)\left(\mathrm{Tw}_j\,\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_V(x)\right)(\omega) = \tau(\omega)\mathcal{L}^\Gamma_V(x)(\chi^j \omega)$. For $n \geq 1$, the second sum on the right-hand side maps to zero (since it is stable under Γ_{n-1} and ω is primitive) and the first sum is the image of the corresponding term of (9) under $p^{n(j+1)}j!\varphi^n$. For $n = 0$ the right-hand side of (11) becomes

$$j!\left(\sum_{m\geq 0} v_m \operatorname{D}_j(e^t-1)^m - \sum_{m\geq 0}\varphi(v_m)\operatorname{D}_j(e^{pt}-1)^m\right) = j!\sum_{m\geq 0}(1-p^j\varphi)(v_m)\operatorname{D}_j(e^t-1)^m,$$

and we obtain the stated formula by comparing this with (10). □

Appendix C. Functions of two p-adic variables

Let p be a prime. We let L be a complete discretely valued subfield of $\mathbb{C}_p$, and let v_p denote the p-adic valuation on L, normalised in the usual fashion, so $v_p(p) = 1$.

C.1. **Functions and distributions of one variable.**

We recall the theory in the one-variable case, as presented in [Cz10]. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \geq 0$.

Let f be a function $\mathbb{Z}_p \to L$. We say f has order h if, informally, it may be approximated by a Taylor series of degree $[h]$ at every point with an error term of order h. More precisely, f has order h if there exist functions $f^{(j)}, 0 \leq j \leq [h]$, such that the quantity

$$\varepsilon_f(x,y) = f(x+y) - \sum_{j=0}^{[h]}\frac{f^{(j)}(x)y^j}{j!},$$

satisfies

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_p, y\in p^n\mathbb{Z}_p} v_p\left(\varepsilon(x,y)\right) - hn \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$. (It is clear that this determines the functions $f^{(0)}, \dots, f^{(j)}$ uniquely.)

We write $C^h(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$ for the space of such functions, with a Banach space structure given by the valuation

$$v_{C^h}(f) = \inf\left(\inf_{0\leq j\leq[h], x\in\mathbb{Z}_p} v_p(f^{(j)}(x)), \inf_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}_p} v_p(\varepsilon_f(x,y)) - hv_p(y)\right).$$

We define the space $D^h(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$ of *distributions of order* h to be the continuous dual of $C^h(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$.

Then we have the following celebrated theorems (due to Mahler for $h = 0$ and to Amice for $h > 0$):

1. The space $C^h(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$ has a Banach space basis given by the functions
$$x \mapsto p^{[h\ell(n)]}\binom{x}{n}$$
for $n \geq 0$, where $\ell(n)$ is, as in §1.3.1 of [Cz10], the smallest integer m such that $p^m > n$.
2. The space $LP^N(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$ of L-valued locally polynomial functions of degree N is dense in $C^h(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$ for any $N \geq [h]$, and a linear functional
$$\mu : LP^N(\mathbb{Z}_p, L) \to L$$
extends continuously to a distribution of order h if and only there is a constant C such that we have
$$v_p\left(\int_{x\in a+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p}\left(\frac{x-a}{p^n}\right)^k \mathrm{d}\mu\right) \geq C - hn$$
for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq N$.

C.2. **The two-variable case.**

We now consider functions of two variables. For $0 \leq a, b < 1$, we define the space

$$C^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L) := C^a(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)\mathbin{\hat{\otimes}_L} C^b(\mathbb{Z}_p, L),$$

with its natural completed tensor product topology. We regard this as a space of functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ in the obvious way, and refer to these as the L-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ of order (a, b). It is clear that $C^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ is simply the space of continuous L-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$, and that if $a' \geq a$ and $b' \geq b$, then $C^{(a',b')}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ is dense in $C^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$. Moreover, for any (a, b) the space $LA(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ of locally analytic functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is a dense subspace of $C^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$.

Note that any choice of Banach space bases for the two factors in the tensor product gives a Banach space basis for $C^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$. In particular, from (1) above we have a Banach basis given by the functions

$$c_{n_1,n_2} : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto p^{[a\ell(n_1)]+[b\ell(n_2)]} \binom{x_1}{n_1}\binom{x_2}{n_2}.$$

The following technical proposition will be useful to us in the main text:

Proposition C.1. *For any $h \ge 0$, the space $C^{(0,h)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ is invariant under pullback via the map $\Phi : (x, y) \to (x, ax + y)$, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\Phi^*(c_{n_1,n_2})$ can be written as a convergent series in terms of the functions c_{m_1,m_2} with uniformly bounded coefficients. We find that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^*(c_{n_1,n_2})(x_1, x_2) &= p^{[h\ell(n_2)]} \binom{x_1}{n_1}\binom{ax_1 + x_2}{n_2} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} p^{[h\ell(n_2)]} \binom{x_1}{n_1}\binom{ax_1}{n_2 - i}\binom{x_2}{i}.\end{aligned}$$

The functions $x_1 \mapsto \binom{x_1}{n_1}\binom{ax_1}{n_2-i}$ are continuous $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and hence the coefficients of their Mahler expansions are integral; and since the function $\ell(n)$ is increasing, we see that the coefficients of $\Phi^*(c_{n_1,n_2})$ in this basis are in fact bounded by 1. □

Dually, we define a distribution of order (a, b) to be an element of the dual of $C^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$; the space $D^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ of such distributions is canonically isomorphic to the completed tensor product $D^a(\mathbb{Z}_p, L) \hat{\otimes}_L D^b(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$.

An analogue of (2) above is also true for these spaces. Let $LP^{(N_1,N_2)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ denote the space of functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ which are locally polynomial of degree $\le N_1$ in x_1 and of degree $\le N_2$ in x_2 (i.e. the algebraic tensor product $LP^{N_1}(\mathbb{Z}_p, L) \otimes_L LP^{N_2}(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$.

Proposition C.2. *Suppose $N_1 \ge [a]$ and $N_2 \ge [b]$. Then $LP^{(N_1,N_2)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ is dense in $C^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$, and a linear functional on $LP^{(N_1,N_2)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2, L)$ extends to an element of of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ extends to an element of $D^{(a,b)}(\mathbb{Z}_p, L)$ if and only if there is a constant C such that*

$$v_p\left(\int_{(x_1,x_2)\in(a_1+p^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}_p)\times(a_2+p^{n_2}\mathbb{Z}_p)} \left(\frac{x_1 - a_1}{p^{n_1}}\right)^{k_1}\left(\frac{x_2 - a_2}{p^{n_2}}\right)^{k_2} \mathrm{d}\mu\right) \ge C - an_1 - bn_2 \tag{12}$$

for all $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $0 \le k_1 \le N_1$ and $0 \le k_2 \le N_2$.

The proof of this result is virtually identical to the 1-variable case, so we shall not give the full details here.

In particular, if $a, b < 1$, we may take $N_1 = N_2 = 0$, and a distribution of order (a, b) is uniquely determined by its values on locally constant functions, or equivalently, by its values on the indicator functions of open subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Conversely, a finitely-additive function μ on open subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ defines a distribution of order (a, b) if and only if there is C such that

$$v_p\mu\left((a_1 + p^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}_p) \times (a_2 + p^{n_2}\mathbb{Z}_p)\right) \ge C - an_1 - bn_2.$$

One readily verifies that the convolution of distributions of order (a, b) and (a', b') has order $(a + a', b + b')$.

It is important to note that the spaces of functions and of distributions of order (a, b) depend on a choice of coordinates; they are not invariant under automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$, *even if* $a = b$. However, dualising Proposition C.1 above, the space of distributions of order $(0, h)$ is invariant under automorphisms preserving the subgroup $(0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Remark C.3. One can also define a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \to L$ to be of order h, for a single non-negative real h, if f has a Taylor expansion of degree $[h]$ at every point, with the error term $\varepsilon(x, y)$ (defined as above) satisfying

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_p^2, y\in p^n\mathbb{Z}_p^2} v_p\varepsilon(x, y) - hn \to \infty.$$

This definition *is* invariant under automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (and indeed under arbitrary morphisms of locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic manifolds). However it is not so convenient for us, since locally constant functions are only dense for $h < 1$, and a finitely-additive function on open subsets extends to a linear functional on this space if we can find a C such that

$$v_p\mu\left(a + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p^2\right) \ge C - nh. \tag{13}$$

The requirement that this be satisfied, for some $h < 1$, is much stronger than the requirement that (12) is satisfied for some $a, b < 1$.

We shall also use the concept of distributions of order (a, b) on a slightly larger class of group: if we have an abelian p-adic Lie group G, and an open subgroup H with distinguished subgroups H_1, H_2 such that $H = H_1 \times H_2$ and $H_1 \cong H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, then we may define a distribution on G to have order (a, b) if its restriction to every coset of H has order (a, b) in the above sense. Note that this does not depend on a choice of generators of the groups H_i, but it does depend on the choice of the subgroups H_1, H_2; so when there is a possibility of ambiguity we shall write "order (a, b) with respect to the subgroups H_1, H_2".

Note that an application of Proposition C.1 shows that a distribution has order $(0, h)$ with respect to the subgroups (H_1, H_2) if and only if it has order $(0, h)$ with respect to (H_1', H_2) for any other subgroup H_1' complementary to H_2; that is, in this special case the definition of "order $(0, h)$" depends only on the choice of H_2.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Eric Pickett for alerting us to the results of his paper [Pic10]. We would also like to thank John Coates, Pierre Colmez, Henri Darmon and Karl Rubin for their interest and for some helpful discussions. The main idea of this paper was developed during our visit to Salt Lake City in February 2011; we would like to thank Wieslawa Niziol and Pierre Colmez for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

[Ber03] Laurent Berger, *Bloch and Kato's exponential map: three explicit formulas*, Doc. Math. Extra Vol. **3** (2003), 99–129, Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday. MR 2046596.

[Ber04] ———, *Limites de représentations cristallines*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1473–1498. MR 2098398.

[BK90] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400. MR 1086888.

[Bou65] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXI. Algèbre commutative. Chapitre 7: Diviseurs*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1314, Hermann, Paris, 1965. MR 0260715.

[CC99] Frédéric Cherbonnier and Pierre Colmez, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques d'un corps local*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 241–268. MR 1626273.

[CFK05]

[Col79] Robert Coleman, *Division values in local fields*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), no. 2, 91–116. MR 0560409.

[Cz10] Pierre Colmez, *Fonctions d'une variable p-adique*, Astérisque **330** (2010), 13–59. MR 2642404.

[dS87] Ehud de Shalit, *Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves with complex multiplication*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 3, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1987. MR 917944.

[Fon90] Jean-Marc Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux. I*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 249–309.

[Fon94] ———, *Représentations p-adiques semi-stables*, Astérisque **223** (1994), 113–184, With an appendix by Pierre Colmez, Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988). MR 1293972.

[FK06] Takako Fukaya and Kazuya Kato, *A formulation of conjectures on p-adic zeta functions in noncommutative Iwasawa theory*, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XII (Providence, RI), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 219, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, pp. 1–85.

[GS93] Ralph Greenberg and Glenn Stevens, *p-adic L-functions and p-adic periods of modular forms*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), no. 2, 407–447.

[Har87] Shai M J Haran, *p-adic L-functions for modular forms*, Compos. Math. **62** (1987), no. 1, 31–46. MR 892149.

[Hid85] Haruzo Hida, *A p-adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. I*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 1, 159–195.

[How02] Susan Howson, *Euler characteristics as invariants of Iwasawa modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **85** (2002), no. 3, 634–658. MR 1936815.

[Kat93] Kazuya Kato, *Lectures on the approach to Iwasawa theory for Hasse-Weil L-functions via* B_{dR}*. I*, Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Trento, 1991), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1553, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 50–163.

[Kim10] Byoung Du Kim, *Two-variable p-adic L-functions of modular forms for non-ordinary primes*, Preprint, August 2010.

[KM76] Finn Faye Knudsen and David Mumford, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. I. Preliminaries on "det" and "Div"*, Math. Scand. **39** (1976), no. 1, 19–55.

[LLZ10] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Wach modules and Iwasawa theory for modular forms*, Asian J. Math. **14** (2010), no. 4, 475–528. MR 2774276.

[LLZ11] ———, *Coleman maps and the p-adic regulator*, to appear in Algebra & Number Theory, 2011, `arXiv:1006.5163`.

[Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[NSW00] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[OV03] Yoshihiro Ochi and Otmar Venjakob, *On the ranks of Iwasawa modules over p-adic Lie extensions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **135** (2003), no. 1, 25–43.

[Pas05] Vicentiu Pasol, *P-adic modular symbols attached to C. M. forms*, Ph.D. thesis, Boston University, 2005.

[PR88] Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p-adiques associées à une forme modulaire et à un corps quadratique imaginaire*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **38** (1988), no. 1, 1–32. MR 949078.

[PR93] ———, *Fonctions L p-adiques d'une courbe elliptique et points rationnels*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **43** (1993), no. 4, 945–995.

[PR94] ———, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques sur un corps local*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), no. 1, 81–161, With an appendix by Jean-Marc Fontaine. MR 1248080.

[PR95] ———, *Fonctions L p-adiques des représentations p-adiques*, Astérisque **229** (1995), 1–198. MR 1327803.

[PR01] ———, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques semi-stables*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) **84** (2001), 1–111.

[PR03] ———, *Arithmétique des courbes elliptiques à réduction supersingulière en p*, Experiment. Math. **12** (2003), no. 2, 155–186.

[Pic10] Erik Jarl Pickett, *Construction of self-dual integral normal bases in abelian extensions of finite and local fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **6** (2010), no. 7, 1565–1588. MR 2740722.

[Rub00] Karl Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 147, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study.

[Sch02] Peter Schneider, *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Wac96] Nathalie Wach, *Représentations p-adiques potentiellement cristallines*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **124** (1996), no. 3, 375–400. MR 1415732.

[Yag82] Rodney I Yager, *On two variable p-adic L-functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), no. 2, 411–449. MR 0647813.

[Zha04] Shaowei Zhang, *On explicit reciprocity law over formal groups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2004), no. 9-12, 607–635. MR 2048801.

(Loeffler) Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK
E-mail address: `d.a.loeffler@warwick.ac.uk`

(Zerbes) Mathematics Research Institute, Harrison Building, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, UK
E-mail address: `s.zerbes@exeter.ac.uk`